

August 26, 2024

Jon Schneider, Planner Coastal Development Services Section

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION FOR PROJECT NO. PRJ2023-001704-(3), Oak Tree Permit ("OTP") RPPL2023002442

Oak Tree Permit ("OTP") RPPL2023002442 is scheduled for public hearing on August 27, 2024. After reviewing the hearing package for this item and visiting the site, I have the following questions. Please be prepared to answer these questions at the hearing. I ask that you forward this memo to the applicant/owner (permittee) and ensure this memo is posted on the public website for this item. It is possible that information presented at the hearing may prompt additional questions.

- 1. As part of this approval, is the permitee proposing any additional work? Or is all the work associated with the pavers and fence completed?
- 2. Besides "Tree #1" and "Tree #2", are there any other protected oak trees on the subject property that are not depicted on the Oak Tree Diagram attached to the hearing package?
- 3. The project description under "B. Project" on page 2 of the hearing packet notes that the permeable pavers are for a "parking area" within the protected zone of one oak tree (identified as Oak Tree #1 on the site plan). In reading the complete hearing package it is my understanding that no parking is allowed within the protected area of Oak Tree #1 and #2 as depicted in the attached Oak Tree Diagram. Please confirm that the storage, parking or operation of a vehicle within the protected zone of an oak tree is prohibited.
- 4. On Page 19 of the hearing package (Draft Findings Page 9 of 9), the Hearing Officer Conclusions B, C and D all refer to the removal or relocation of oak trees; however, there are no oak tree removals or relocations being proposed as part of this project. Should Hearing Officer Conclusions B, C and D include the word "encroachment" to accurately reflect the project?

Sincerely,

Steven Jareb

Hearing Officer

Steven Jareo