

SUPPLEMENTAL
REPORT TO THE HEARING OFFICER

DATE ISSUED: January 6, 2025
HEARING DATE: January 7, 2025 AGENDA ITEM: 5
PROJECT NUMBER: PRJ2023-000349-(2)
PERMIT NUMBER(S): Yard Modification No. RPPL2023000478
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT: 2
PROJECT LOCATION: 5486 Valley Ridge Avenue, View Park-Windsor Hills
OWNER: Gregory Rachal
APPLICANT: Isabel Giraldo
CASE PLANNER: Evan Sahagun, Planner
ESahagun@planning.lacounty.gov

RECOMMENDATION

The following recommendation is made prior to the public hearing and is subject to change based upon testimony and/or documentary evidence presented at the public hearing:

LA County Planning staff (“Staff”) recommends **APPROVAL** of Project Number PRJ2023-000349-(2), Yard Modification Number RPPL2023000478, based on the Revised Findings (Exhibit C – Findings) contained within this report and subject to the Revised Conditions of Approval (Exhibit D – Conditions of Approval).

Staff recommends the following motions:

CEQA:

I, THE HEARING OFFICER, CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND FIND THAT THE PROJECT IS CATEGORICALLY EXEMPT PURSUANT TO STATE AND LOCAL CEQA GUIDELINES.

ENTITLEMENT:

I, THE HEARING OFFICER, APPROVE YARD MODIFICATION NUMBER RPPL2023000478 SUBJECT TO THE ATTACHED FINDINGS AND CONDITIONS.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Item No. 5 is a yard modification request to authorize an existing five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence within the required front yard setback area, which exceeds the three-foot and six-inch maximum height limit, and to authorize an existing 256-square-foot covered patio attached to an existing single-family residence with a front yard setback of nine feet and one inch in lieu of the required 20-foot minimum front yard setback (“Project”), in the R-1 (Single-Family Residence) Zone pursuant to County Code Section 22.110.090 (Modifications Authorized).

HEARING OFFICER MEMO RECEIVED

Staff received a memo from Hearing Officer Gina Natoli requesting additional information after the hearing package was posted on December 26, 2024. The memo, dated January 2, 2025, requested clarification on the following:

1. Did the Department of Public Works (“DPW”) specifically determine whether there were any line-of-sight issues for vehicles traveling on Valley Ridge Avenue or West 57th Street caused by the over height fence?

In response, Staff notes that the initial application for the Project was unable to obtain clearance from DPW for the existing fence height due to the driveway and curb cut. Structures are not allowed to exceed 42 inches in height within 10 feet of a driveway entrance. In response, the applicant proposed to close the existing driveway and curb cut. DPW reviewed the revised plans and identified no additional line-of-sight issues. DPW cleared the Project for public hearing on April 18, 2024. On January 6, 2025, DPW confirmed to Staff that the existing fence does not cause a line-of-sight issue because it has a three-foot setback.

The Hearing Officer also provided comments to Staff requesting additional editorial changes and clarifications in the Findings and Conditions of Approval. In response, Staff revised the Findings, Conditions of Approval, and Environmental Determination:

- The Hearing Officer provided comments relating to the recommendation to approve the existing fence height on the property’s West 57th Street frontage. The Project is a request to authorize an existing five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence within the required front yard setback area which includes West 57th Street and Alviso Avenue. Staff continues to recommend approval of the Project and is requesting the Hearing Officer to elaborate on this comment at the public hearing.
- Condition No. 9 has been clarified regarding the inspection schedule and provides the applicant with the option to request an earlier second inspection, if the driveway closure has been completed.
- Conditions No. 14 and 15 were removed as they are not applicable to residential properties.
- Minor grammatical errors and inconsistencies were also corrected.

Report
Reviewed By: *Carmen Sainz*
Carmen Sainz, Supervising Planner

Report
Approved By: *M. Glaser*
Mitch Glaser, Assistant Deputy Director

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS		
EXHIBIT C	Findings	Revised document
EXHIBIT D	Conditions of Approval	Revised document
EXHIBIT F	Environmental Determination	Revised document
EXHIBIT C	Findings	Changes tracked
EXHIBIT D	Conditions of Approval	Changes tracked
EXHIBIT F	Environmental Determination	Changes tracked

LOS ANGELES COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING
FINDINGS OF THE HEARING OFFICER
AND ORDER
PROJECT NO. PRJ2023-000349-(2)
YARD MODIFICATION NO. RPPL2023000478

RECITALS

1. **HEARING DATE(S).** The Los Angeles County (“County”) Hearing Officer conducted a duly noticed public hearing in the matter of Yard Modification No. **RPPL2023000478** on January 7, 2025.
2. **HEARING PROCEEDINGS.** *Reserved.*
3. **ENTITLEMENT(S) REQUESTED.** The permittee, Isabel Giraldo ("Permittee"), requests the Yard Modification to authorize an existing five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence within the required front yard setback area, which exceeds the three-foot and six-inch maximum height limit, and to authorize an existing 256-square-foot covered patio attached to an existing single-family residence (“SFR”) with a front yard setback of nine feet and one inch in lieu of the required 20 foot minimum front yard setback (“Project”), on a property located at 5486 Valley Ridge Avenue in the unincorporated community of View Park–Windsor Hills ("Project Site") in the R-1 (Single-Family Residence) Zone pursuant to County Code Section 22.110.190 (Modifications Authorized).
4. **ENTITLEMENT(S) REQUIRED.** The request to authorize a fence over three feet and six inches in height within the required front yard setback area and the request to authorize a reduced front yard setback for a covered patio in the R-1 Zone require a yard modification pursuant to County Code Section 22.110.190 (Modifications Authorized).

The Permittee also proposes an interior remodel of the existing SFR and new additions to the existing SFR that would increase the floor area by approximately 201.75 square feet. The proposed remodel and new additions are being reviewed separately under Ministerial Site Plan Review (“SPR”) No. RPPL2023001011. The proposed additions comply with the required front, side, and rear yard setbacks.

The Permittee also proposes to close the existing curb cut on Alviso Avenue, which is under the purview of the Department of Public Works.

5. **LOCATION.** The Project Site is located at 5486 Valley Ridge Avenue within the View Park Zoned District and the Westside Planning Area.

6. PREVIOUS ENTITLEMENT(S).

CASE NO.	REQUEST	DATE OF ACTION
Ministerial SPR RPPL2023000019	Convert existing detached garage into a detached Accessory Dwelling Unit (“ADU”)	Approved on February 22, 2023

7. **LAND USE DESIGNATION.** The Project Site is located within the H9 (Residential 9 – Nine Dwelling Units per Net Acre Maximum Density) land use designation of the General Plan Land Use Policy Map.

8. **ZONING.** The Project Site is currently zoned R-1. Pursuant to County Code Section 22.110.190 (Modifications Authorized), a yard modification is required to authorize a fence that exceeds the height limit within the required front yard setback area and to authorize an addition that encroaches into the required front yard setback.

9. SURROUNDING LAND USES AND ZONING

LOCATION	GENERAL PLAN LAND USE POLICY	ZONING	EXISTING USES
NORTH	H9 (Residential 9 – Nine Dwelling Units per Net Acre Maximum Density)	R-1 (Single-Family Residence)	SFRs
EAST	H9, P (Public and Semi-Public), H18 (Residential 18 – 18 Dwelling Units per Net Acre Maximum Density)	R-1, R-3 (Limited Density Multiple Residence)	SFRs, School, Multifamily residences
SOUTH	H9	R-1	SFRs
WEST	H9	R-1	SFRs

10. PROJECT AND SITE PLAN DESCRIPTION.

A. Existing Site Conditions

The Project Site is 0.22 acres (9,681 square feet) in size and consists of one legal lot. The Project Site has gentle sloping topography. The Project Site is irregular in shape and has three street frontages on its west, south, and east sides. Therefore, the Director of Regional Planning (“Director”) determined that there are front yard setbacks on each of these three street frontages pursuant to County Code Section 22.110.080.A (Yard Determination).

B. Site Access

Primary pedestrian access is via an entrance from Valley Ridge Avenue on the west side of the Project Site and secondary pedestrian access is via a proposed pedestrian gate on Alviso Avenue on the east side of the Project Site. Vehicular access is via an existing driveway entrance on Alviso Avenue, which is proposed to be closed.

C. Site Plan

The site plan depicts an existing 1,841-square-foot SFR with an attached 175-square-foot covered front porch, an attached 256-square-foot covered patio that is set back nine feet and one inch from the southern property line on West 57th Street, a 577-square-foot detached garage that will be converted into an ADU, as approved by Ministerial SPR No. RPPL2023000019 on February 22, 2023, and an existing five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence along the south and east sides of the Project Site.

The site plan shows that the existing curb cut will be removed and that the existing five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden sliding gate will be replaced by a five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence and pedestrian gate that will match the existing fence.

The site plan also depicts an interior remodel of the existing SFR and new additions to the existing SFR that would increase the floor area by approximately 201.75 square feet. The remodel and new additions are being reviewed separately under Ministerial SPR No. RPPL2023001011. The proposed additions comply with the required front, side, and rear yard setbacks.

D. Parking

Ministerial SPR No. RPPL2023000019 approved the conversion of the existing detached two-car garage on the Project Site into a detached ADU on February 22, 2023. Replacement covered parking was not required or provided. Furthermore, the Permittee proposes to close the existing curb cut, which will remove access to uncovered parking spaces on the driveway. Therefore, no on-site parking will be provided on the Project Site.

11. CEQA DETERMINATION.

Prior to the Hearing Officer's public hearing on the Project, Department of Regional Planning ("LA County Planning") Staff determined that the Project qualifies for Class 1 and Class 5 Categorical Exemptions from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") under State CEQA Guidelines sections 15301 (Existing Facilities) and 15305 (Minor Alterations in Land Use Limitations), respectively. The Permittee requests authorization of an existing five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence within the required front yard setback area, which exceeds the three-foot and six-inch maximum height limit, and authorization of an existing 256-square-foot covered patio attached to an existing SFR with a front yard setback of nine feet and one inch in lieu of the required 20 foot minimum front yard setback. The requested modifications to development standards would authorize existing construction.

As part of the Project, the Permittee also requests Ministerial SPR No. RPPL202300019, which is a separate approval of two small additions to the SFR that would increase the existing floor area by approximately 201.75 square feet, a net increase of 11 percent, and also requests a separate approval from the Department of Public Works to close an existing curb cut.

None of the exceptions to an exemption apply to the Project because it is not located in an environmentally sensitive area, is not known to contain scenic or historic resources, and there are no unusual circumstances that would indicate a reasonable possibility that the Project could have a significant effect on the environment. Therefore, the Hearing Officer finds that the Project is categorically exempt from CEQA.

12. **COMMUNITY OUTREACH.** Staff is not aware of any community outreach conducted by the Permittee that occurred before the Report to the Hearing Officer was issued on December 26, 2024.
13. **PUBLIC COMMENTS.** Staff did not receive any public comments before the Report to the Hearing Officer was issued on December 26, 2024.

14. AGENCY RECOMMENDATIONS.

- A. Department of Public Works: Granted conceptual site plan approval and recommended clearance to public hearing with no conditions on April 18, 2024.
- B. Fire Department: Recommended clearance to public hearing with no conditions in a letter dated March 15, 2023.

15. **LEGAL NOTIFICATION.** Pursuant to County Code Section 22.222.120 (Public Hearing Procedure), the community was properly notified of the public hearing by mail and newspaper (Daily Journal and Los Angeles Sentinel). On December 5, 2024, approximately one month prior to the public hearing, a “Notice of Public Hearing” sign was posted on the Project Site, plainly visible from the public right-of-way on West 57th Street. Additionally, the Project was noticed and case materials were available on LA County Planning's website. On November 20, 2024, a total of 43 Notices of Public Hearing were mailed to all property owners as identified on the County Assessor's record within a 500-foot radius from the Project Site, as well as 10 notices to those on the courtesy mailing list for the View Park Zoned District and to any additional interested parties.

GENERAL PLAN CONSISTENCY FINDINGS

16. **LAND USE POLICY.** The Hearing Officer finds that the Project is consistent with the goals and policies of the General Plan because the H9 land use designation is intended for SFRs and their accessory uses, such as this project.

17. **GOALS AND POLICIES.** The Hearing Officer finds that the Project is consistent with the goals and policies of the General Plan, including the following:

- **Policy LU 5.1:** Encourage a mix of residential land use designations and development regulations that accommodate various densities, building types and styles.
- **Policy LU 10.3:** Consider the built environment of the surrounding area and location in the design and scale of new or remodeled buildings, architectural styles, and reflect appropriate features such as massing, materials, color, detailing or ornament.

The existing unpermitted five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence within the required front yard setback area is consistent with the General Plan's goals and policies that allow for, and encourage, a variety of designs that consider the built environment of the surrounding area. The Project Site is irregular in shape and has three street frontages on its west, south, and east sides. Therefore, the Director determined that there are front yard setbacks on each of these three street frontages pursuant to County Code Section 22.110.080.A (Yard Determination). The Project Site is the only residential property within a 500-foot radius with three street frontages. The existing fence accommodates the unique location of the Project Site by allowing for an enclosed yard space that provides the residents of the SFR with the same privacy enjoyed by the residents of other SFRs in the neighborhood.

The reduced front yard setback for the existing unpermitted covered patio is consistent with the front yard setbacks of surrounding properties. SFRs on properties to the northwest of the Project Site were built with reduced front yard setbacks due to the sloping terrain in the rear of those properties. Therefore, there is a pattern of reduced front yard setbacks in the neighborhood. Furthermore, property frontages to the west and east of the Project Site, along West 57th Street, are primarily corner side yards, where buildings are allowed to have a five-foot setback facing West 57th Street. The proposed yard modification would accommodate the unique location of the Project Site by allowing a reduced setback on the West 57th Street frontage. To address line-of-sight issues for pedestrians and vehicular traffic on Alviso Avenue, the Permittee proposes to close the existing driveway.

ZONING CODE CONSISTENCY FINDINGS

18. **PERMITTED USE IN ZONE.** The Hearing Officer finds that the Project is consistent with the R-1 zoning classification because an SFR is permitted in such zone with a ministerial SPR pursuant to County Code Section 22.18.030 (Land Use Regulations for Residential Zones).

19. **YARD DETERMINATION.** The Hearing Officer finds that the Project Site is an irregularly shaped lot with three street frontages and the Director determined that there are front yard setbacks on each of these three street frontages pursuant to County Code Section 22.110.080.A (Yard Determination).

20. **REQUIRED YARDS.** The Hearing Officer finds that the Project is not consistent with the standards identified in County Code Section 22.18.040 (Development Standards for Residential Zones) because the existing unpermitted 256-square-foot covered patio attached to the existing SFR has a front yard setback of nine feet and one inch in lieu of the required 20 foot minimum front yard setback. However, the proposed Yard Modification will authorize this structure.

The proposed additions to the SFR, to be reviewed separately under Ministerial SPR No. RPPL2023001011, comply with the required front, side, and rear yard setbacks.

21. **FENCES AND WALLS.** The Hearing Officer finds that the Project is not consistent with the standards identified in County Code Section 22.110.070.B (Maximum Height of Fences and Walls) because the existing unpermitted five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence within the required front yard setback area exceeds the three-foot and six-inch maximum height limit. However, the proposed Yard Modification will authorize this structure.

22. **HEIGHT.** The Hearing Officer finds that the Project is consistent with the standard identified in County Code Section 22.18.040 (Development Standards for Residential Zones). The maximum height allowed is 35 feet above grade. The existing building has a maximum height of 13 feet and nine inches, which is within the allowed maximum height, and no increase to the height is proposed.

23. **PARKING.** The Hearing Officer finds that the Project is consistent with the standards identified in County Code Section 22.112.070 (Required Parking Spaces). The existing detached two-car garage on the Project Site was approved for conversion into a detached ADU. No replacement parking is required pursuant to County Code Section 22.140.640.G.1.d (Parking).

YARD MODIFICATION FINDINGS

24. **The Hearing Officer finds that topographic features, subdivision plans, or other site conditions create an unnecessary hardship or unreasonable regulation or make it obviously impractical to require compliance with the yard requirement or setback line.** The Project Site has three street frontages on its west, south, and east sides. Therefore, the Director determined that there are front yard setbacks on each of these three street frontages pursuant to County Code Section 22.110.080.A (Yard Determination). Within a 500-foot radius of the Project Site, this is the only lot which requires a front yard setback on three sides. It is impractical to require the 20-foot minimum front yard setback on all three street frontages and to enforce the three-foot and six-inch maximum height limit for the fence on all three street frontages. The five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence was built for added security and privacy on this unique lot. Furthermore, the front yard setback requirement, combined with the location of the existing detached garage, presents a barrier to identifying an alternative site for the covered patio where it can still be attached to the SFR.

25. **The Hearing Officer finds that the proposed structure is similar to the setbacks of other legally-built structures on adjacent or neighboring properties.** The existing solid wooden fence is similar to other fences on corner lots within the surrounding area. The Permittee provided five examples of neighboring properties with similar fence heights within the corner side and rear yards facing the street. The Permittee also provided six examples of neighboring properties with reduced front yard setbacks, primarily located northwest of the Project Site. Staff's site visit and aerial imagery confirmed that the front yard setbacks in the surrounding area range from five feet to 16 feet, demonstrating that the reduced setback is consistent with the neighborhood pattern.
26. **The Hearing Officer finds that the use, development of land, and application of development standards is in compliance with all applicable provisions of this Title 22.** SFRs and accessory structures, such as fences and covered patios, are allowed in the R-1 Zone with a ministerial SPR. The Project Site is adequate in size and shape to accommodate all other development standards required by the County Code, such as the maximum building height. The County Code allows for the modification of yard setbacks and maximum fence heights, provided that the request meets the required findings. The Permittee requests a modification to the required front yard setback due to the original subdivision plan, which established this lot with three street frontages, making strict compliance impractical. Therefore, the Project meets the required finding.
27. **The Hearing Officer finds that the use, development of land, and application of development standards, when considered on the basis of the suitability of the site for the particular use or development intended, is so arranged as to avoid traffic congestion, provide for the safety and convenience of bicyclists and pedestrians, including children, senior citizens, and persons with disabilities, insure the protection of public health, safety, and general welfare, prevent adverse effects on neighboring property and is in conformity with good zoning practice.** Due to the location of the Project Site fronting three streets, the Permittee needed conceptual site plan approval from the Department of Public Works. The existing driveway and vehicles entering and exiting the Project Site on Alviso Avenue pose a safety risk for pedestrians because the fence height exceeds 42 inches and obscures visibility. The Permittee proposes to close the existing driveway and curb cut on Alviso Avenue if the Project is approved, which would eliminate this concern. Therefore, the Project is designed to remove this potential hazard for pedestrians on the sidewalk, ensuring safety and convenience to others. The proposed covered patio faces the street and is not anticipated to have adverse effects on neighboring properties.
28. **The Hearing Officer finds that the use, development of land, and application of development standards is suitable from the standpoint of functional developmental design.** The proposed modification to the front yard setback requirement to authorize existing accessory structures will not change the use of the property, increase density, or significantly impact the development pattern of the surrounding area. The existing unpermitted five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence and the existing unpermitted covered patio provide private recreational space

and are accessory to the primary use, which is an SFR. The proposed closure of the existing driveway and curb cut, which has been conceptually approved by the Department of Public Works, would maintain the area's pedestrian character and address a potential safety risk related to the line-of-sight for pedestrians due to vehicles entering and exiting the Project Site on Alviso Avenue. Given the unique location of the Project Site, relative to its surroundings, a Yard Modification is a suitable request.

ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS

29. The Hearing Officer finds that the Project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines sections 15301 (Class 1, Existing Facilities) and 15305 (Class 5, Minor Alterations in Land Use Limitations). The Project would authorize an existing five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence within the required front yard setback area, which exceeds the three-foot and six-inch maximum height limit, and would authorize an existing 256-square-foot covered patio attached to an existing SFR with a front yard setback of nine feet and one inch in lieu of the required 20 foot minimum front yard setback. The requested modifications to development standards would not increase density or change surrounding land use patterns. None of the exceptions to an exemption apply to the Project because it is not located in an environmentally sensitive area, is not known to contain scenic or historic resources, and there are no unusual circumstances that would indicate a reasonable possibility that the Project could have a significant effect on the environment. Therefore, the Hearing Officer finds that the Project is categorically exempt from CEQA.

ADMINISTRATIVE FINDINGS

30. The Hearing Officer finds that it is not necessary to limit the grant term of the Yard Modification because the Project involves an existing SFR, a fence, and a covered patio.

31. **LOCATION OF DOCUMENTS.** The location of the documents and other materials constituting the record of proceedings upon which the Hearing Officer's decision is based in this matter is at LA County Planning, 13th Floor, Hall of Records, 320 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, California 90012. The custodian of such documents and materials shall be the Section Head of the Metro Development Services Section, LA County Planning.

BASED ON THE FOREGOING, THE HEARING OFFICER CONCLUDES THAT:

- A. Topographic features, subdivision plans, or other site conditions create an unnecessary hardship or unreasonable regulation or make it obviously impractical to require compliance with the yard requirement or setback line.
- B. The proposed structure is similar to the setbacks of other legally-built structures on adjacent or neighboring properties.
- C. The use, development of land, and application of development standards is in compliance with all applicable provisions of this Title 22.

- D. The use, development of land, and application of development standards, when considered on the basis of the suitability of the site for the particular use or development intended, is so arranged as to avoid traffic congestion, provide for the safety and convenience of bicyclists and pedestrians, including children, senior citizens, and persons with disabilities, insure the protection of public health, safety, and general welfare, prevent adverse effects on neighboring property and is in conformity with good zoning practice.
- E. The use, development of land, and application of development standards is suitable from the standpoint of functional developmental design.

THEREFORE, THE HEARING OFFICER:

1. Finds that the Project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines sections 15301 (Class 1, Existing Facilities) and 15305 (Class 5, Minor Alterations in Land Use Limitations) categorical exemptions; and
2. Approves **YARD MODIFICATION NO. RPPL2023000478**, subject to the attached conditions.

ACTION DATE: January 7, 2025

MG:CS:ES

01/06/2025

c: Zoning Enforcement, Building and Safety

LOS ANGELES COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL
PROJECT NO. PRJ2023-000349-(2)
YARD MODIFICATION NO. RPPL2023000478

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This Yard Modification authorizes an existing five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence within the required front yard setback area, which exceeds the three-foot and six-inch maximum height limit, and authorizes an existing 256-square-foot covered patio attached to an existing single-family residence with a front yard setback of nine feet and one inch in lieu of the required 20-foot minimum front yard setback in the R-1 (Single-Family Residence) Zone pursuant to Los Angeles County Code ("County Code") Section 22.110.190 (Modifications Authorized) subject to the following conditions of approval:

GENERAL CONDITIONS

1. Unless otherwise apparent from the context, the term "Permittee" shall include the applicant, owner of the property, and any other person, corporation, or other entity making use of this grant.
2. This grant shall not be effective for any purpose until the Permittee, and the owner of the subject property if other than the Permittee, have filed at the office of the Los Angeles County ("County") Department of Regional Planning ("LA County Planning") their affidavit stating that they are aware of and agree to accept all of the conditions of this grant, and that the conditions of the grant have been recorded as required by Condition No. 6, and until all required monies have been paid pursuant to Condition No. 9. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Condition No. 2 and Conditions No. 3, 4, and 8 shall be effective immediately upon the date of final approval of this grant by the County.
3. The Permittee shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the County, its agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action, or proceeding against the County or its agents, officers, or employees to attack, set aside, void, or annul this permit approval, which action is brought within the applicable time period of Government Code section 65009 or any other applicable limitations period. The County shall promptly notify the Permittee of any claim, action, or proceeding and the County shall reasonably cooperate in the defense. If the County fails to promptly notify the Permittee of any claim, action, or proceeding, or if the County fails to cooperate reasonably in the defense, the Permittee shall not thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify, or hold harmless the County.
4. In the event that any claim, action, or proceeding as described above is filed against the County, the Permittee shall within 10 days of the filing make an initial deposit with LA County Planning in the amount of up to \$5,000.00, from which actual costs and expenses shall be billed and deducted for the purpose of defraying the costs or expenses involved in LA County Planning's cooperation in the defense, including but not limited to, depositions, testimony, and other assistance provided to Permittee or Permittee's counsel.

If during the litigation process, actual costs or expenses incurred reach 80 percent of the amount on deposit, the Permittee shall deposit additional funds sufficient to bring the balance up to the amount of \$5,000.00. There is no limit to the number of supplemental deposits that may be required prior to completion of the litigation.

At the sole discretion of the Permittee, the amount of an initial or any supplemental deposit may exceed the minimum amounts defined herein. Additionally, the cost for collection and duplication of records and other related documents shall be paid by the Permittee according to County Code Section 2.170.010.

5. If any material provision of this grant is held or declared to be invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, the permit shall be void and the privileges granted hereunder shall lapse.
6. Prior to the use of this grant, the Permittee, or the owner of the subject property if other than the Permittee, shall **record the terms and conditions** of the grant in the office of the County Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk ("Recorder"). In addition, upon any transfer or lease of the property during the term of this grant, the Permittee, or the owner of the subject property if other than the Permittee, shall promptly provide a copy of the grant and its conditions to the transferee or lessee of the subject property.
7. **This grant shall not have a grant term.**
8. This grant shall expire unless used within two (2) years from the date of final approval of the grant. A single one-year time extension may be requested in writing and with the payment of the applicable fee prior to such expiration date.
9. The subject property shall be maintained and operated in full compliance with the conditions of this grant and any law, statute, ordinance, or other regulation applicable to any development or activity on the subject property. Failure of the Permittee to cease any development or activity not in full compliance shall be a violation of these conditions. No provision of any easement or any other encumbrance on the property shall exempt the Permittee and/or property owner from compliance with these conditions and applicable regulations. Inspections shall be made to ensure compliance with the conditions of this grant as well as to ensure that any development undertaken on the subject property is in accordance with the approved site plan on file. The Permittee shall deposit with the County the sum of **\$882.00**, which shall be placed in a performance fund and be used exclusively to reimburse LA County Planning for all expenses incurred while inspecting the premises to determine the Permittee's compliance with the conditions of this grant. The fund provides for **two (2)** inspections. **The first inspection shall occur no less than one year after the date of final approval (January 7, 2026, or after) and the second inspection shall occur no less than three years after the date of final approval (January 7, 2028, or after).** The second inspection may occur on an earlier date upon written request from the Permittee, provided that Condition No. 18 has been satisfied.

If additional inspections are required to ensure compliance with the conditions of this grant, or if any inspection discloses that the subject property is being used in violation of any one of the conditions of this grant, the Permittee shall be financially responsible

and shall reimburse LA County Planning for all additional enforcement efforts necessary to bring the subject property into compliance. The amount charged for additional inspections shall be **\$441.00** per inspection, or the current recovery cost established by LA County Planning at the time any additional inspections are required, whichever is greater.

10. Notice is hereby given that any person violating a provision of this grant is guilty of a misdemeanor. Notice is further given that the Regional Planning Commission (“Commission”) or a Hearing Officer may, after conducting a public hearing, revoke or modify this grant, if the Commission or Hearing Officer finds that these conditions have been violated or that this grant has been exercised so as to be detrimental to the public’s health or safety or so as to be a nuisance, or as otherwise authorized pursuant to Chapter 22.238 of the County Code.
11. All development pursuant to this grant must be kept in full compliance with the County Fire Code to the satisfaction of the County Fire Department.
12. All development pursuant to this grant shall conform with the requirements of the County Department of Public Works to the satisfaction of said department.
13. All development pursuant to this grant shall comply with the requirements of Title 22 of the County Code and of the specific zoning of the subject property, unless specifically modified by this grant, as set forth in these conditions, including the approved Exhibit "A," or a revised Exhibit "A" approved by the Director of LA County Planning (“Director”).
14. The subject property shall be developed and maintained in substantial conformance with the yard modification granted and reflected on the plans marked Exhibit “A.” If changes to any of the plans marked Exhibit “A” are required as a result of instruction given at the public hearing, a modified Exhibit “A” shall be submitted to LA County Planning by March 7, 2025.
15. In the event that subsequent revisions to the approved Exhibit “A” are submitted, the Permittee shall submit the proposed plans to the Director for review and approval. All revised plans must substantially conform to the originally approved Exhibit “A.” All revised plans must be accompanied by the written authorization of the property owner(s) and applicable fee for such revision.

PROJECT SITE-SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

16. This grant shall authorize an existing five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence within the front yard setback areas fronting West 57th Street and Alviso Avenue, as depicted on the approved Exhibit “A.” Portions of the existing sliding vehicle gate shall be replaced with a fence and a pedestrian gate that match the appearance of the existing solid wooden fence.
17. The Permittee shall submit street improvement plans to the Department of Public Works related to the closure of the existing driveway and curb cut, as depicted on the approved Exhibit “A,” by no later than March 7, 2025.

18. The Permittee shall close the existing driveway and curb cut as depicted on the approved Exhibit "A."
19. This grant shall authorize a modification of the front yard setback, fronting West 57th Street, to nine feet and one inch in lieu of the required 20 feet to authorize an existing 256-square-foot covered patio, as depicted on the approved Exhibit "A." This modification does not authorize any new construction or any other setback reduction.
20. The subject property shall be maintained in compliance with all applicable Title 22 development standards.

PROPOSED ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION

DETERMINATION DATE: January 7, 2025
PROJECT NUMBER: PRJ2023-000349-(2)
PERMIT NUMBER: Yard Modification No. RPPL2023000478
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT: 2
PROJECT LOCATION: 5486 Valley Ridge Avenue, View Park-Windsor Hills
OWNER: Gregory Rachal
APPLICANT: Isabel Giraldo
CASE PLANNER: Evan Sahagun, Planner
ESahagun@planning.lacounty.gov

Los Angeles County (“County”) completed an initial review for the above-mentioned Project. Based on examination of the Project proposal and the supporting information included in the application, the County proposes that an Exemption is the appropriate environmental documentation under the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”). The Project qualifies for a Class 1, Existing Facilities and Class 5, Minor Alterations in Land Use Limitations Categorical Exemption under State CEQA Guidelines sections 15301 and 15305, respectively.

The applicant requests a yard modification to authorize an existing five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence within the required front yard setback area, which exceeds the three-foot and six-inch maximum height limit, and to authorize an existing 256-square-foot covered patio attached to an existing single-family residence (“SFR”) with a front yard setback of nine feet and one inch in lieu of the required 20-foot minimum front yard setback.

As part of the Project, the Permittee also requests Ministerial Site Plan Review No. RPPL202300019, which is a separate approval of two small additions to the SFR that would increase the existing floor area by approximately 201.75 square feet, a net increase of 11 percent, and also requests a separate approval from the Department of Public Works to close an existing curb cut.

None of the exceptions to an exemption apply because the Project is not located within a Significant Ecological Area, on a scenic highway or on a hazardous waste site, is not known to contain historic resources, and there are no unusual circumstances that would indicate a reasonable possibility that the Project could have a significant or cumulative effect on the environment. Therefore, Staff recommends that the Hearing Officer determine that the Project is categorically exempt from CEQA.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING
DRAFT FINDINGS OF THE HEARING OFFICER
AND ORDER
PROJECT NO. PRJ2023-000349-(2)
YARD MODIFICATION NO. RPPL2023000478

RECITALS

1. **HEARING DATE(S).** The Los Angeles County (“County”) Hearing Officer conducted a duly-noticed public hearing in the matter of Yard Modification No. **RPPL2023000478** on January 7, 2025.
2. **HEARING PROCEEDINGS.** *Reserved.*
3. **ENTITLEMENT(S) REQUESTED.** The permittee, Isabel Giraldo ("Permittee"), requests the Yard Modification to authorize an existing five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence within the required front yard setback area, which exceeds the three-foot and six-inch maximum height limit, and to authorize an existing 256-square-foot covered patio attached to an existing single-family residence (“SFR”) with a front yard setback of nine feet and one inch in lieu of the required 20 foot minimum front yard setback (“Project”), on a property located at 5486 Valley Ridge Avenue in the unincorporated community of View Park–Windsor Hills ("Project Site") in the R-1 (Single-Family Residence) Zone pursuant to County Code Section 22.110.190 (Modifications Authorized).
4. **ENTITLEMENT(S) REQUIRED.** The request to authorize a fence over three feet and six inches in height within the required front yard setback area and the request to authorize a reduced front yard setback for a covered patio in the R-1 Zone require a ~~Yard-yard Modification-modification~~ pursuant to County Code Section 22.110.190 (Modifications Authorized).

The Permittee also proposes an interior remodel of the existing SFR and new additions to the existing SFR that would increase the floor area by approximately 201.75 square feet. The proposed remodel and new additions are being reviewed separately under Ministerial Site Plan Review (“SPR”) No. RPPL2023001011. The proposed additions comply with the required front, side, and rear yard setbacks.

The Permittee also proposes to close the existing curb cut on Alviso Avenue, which is under the purview of the Department of Public Works.

5. **LOCATION.** The Project Site is located at 5486 Valley Ridge Avenue within the View Park Zoned District and the Westside Planning Area.

6. PREVIOUS ENTITLEMENT(S).

CASE NO.	REQUEST	DATE OF ACTION
Ministerial SPR RPPL2023000019	Convert existing detached garage into a detached Accessory Dwelling Unit (“ADU”)	Approved on February 22, 2023

7. **LAND USE DESIGNATION.** The Project Site is located within the H9 (Residential 9 – Nine Dwelling Units per Net Acre Maximum Density) land use designation of the General Plan Land Use Policy Map.

8. **ZONING.** The Project Site is currently zoned R-1. Pursuant to ~~County Code Section~~ County Code Section 22.110.190 (Modifications Authorized), a Yard-yard Modification modification is required to authorize a fence that exceeds the height limit within the required front yard setback area and to authorize an addition that encroaches into the required front yard setback.

9. SURROUNDING LAND USES AND ZONING

LOCATION	GENERAL PLAN LAND USE POLICY	ZONING	EXISTING USES
NORTH	H9 (Residential 9 – Nine Dwelling Units per Net Acre Maximum Density)	R-1 (Single-Family Residence)	SFRs
EAST	H9, P (Public and Semi-Public), H18 (Residential 18 – 18 Dwelling Units per Net Acre Maximum Density)	R-1, R-3 (Limited Density Multiple Residence)	SFRs, School, Multifamily residences
SOUTH	H9	R-1	SFRs
WEST	H9	R-1	SFRs

10. PROJECT AND SITE PLAN DESCRIPTION.

A. Existing Site Conditions

The Project Site is 0.22 acres (9,681 square feet) in size and consists of one legal lot. The Project Site has gentle sloping topography. The Project Site is irregular in shape and has three street frontages on its west, south, and east sides. Therefore, the Director of Regional Planning (“Director”) determined that there are front yard setbacks on each of these three street frontages pursuant to County Code Section 22.110.080.A (Yard Determination).

B. Site Access

Primary pedestrian access is via an entrance from Valley Ridge Avenue on the west side of the Project Site and secondary pedestrian access is via a proposed pedestrian gate on Alviso Avenue on the east side of the Project Site. Vehicular access is via an existing driveway entrance on Alviso Avenue, which is proposed to be closed.

C. Site Plan

The site plan depicts an existing 1,841-square-foot SFR with an attached 175-square-foot covered front porch, an attached 256-square-foot covered patio that is set back nine feet and one inch from the southern property line on West 57th Street, a 577-square-foot detached garage that will be converted into an ADU, as approved by Ministerial SPR No. RPPL2023000019 on February 22, 2023, and an existing five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence along the south and east sides of the Project Site.

The site plan shows that the existing curb cut will be removed and that the existing five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden sliding gate will be replaced by a five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence and pedestrian gate that will match the existing fence.

The site plan also depicts an interior remodel of the existing SFR and new additions to the existing SFR that would increase the floor area by approximately 201.75 square feet. The remodel and new additions are being reviewed separately under Ministerial SPR No. RPPL2023001011. The proposed additions comply with the required front, side, and rear yard setbacks.

D. Parking

Ministerial SPR No. RPPL202300019 approved the conversion of the existing detached two-car garage on the Project Site into a detached ADU on February 22, 2023. Replacement covered parking was not required or provided. Furthermore, the Permittee proposes to close the existing curb cut, which will remove access to uncovered parking spaces on the driveway. Therefore, no on-site parking will be provided on the Project Site.

11. **CEQA DETERMINATION.**

Prior to the Hearing Officer's public hearing on the Project, Department of Regional Planning ("LA County Planning") Staff determined that the Project qualifies for Class 1 and Class 5 Categorical Exemptions from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") under State CEQA Guidelines Sections-sections 15301 (Existing Facilities) and 15305 (Minor Alterations in Land Use Limitations), respectively. The Permittee requests authorization of an existing five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence within the required front yard setback area, which exceeds the three-foot and six-inch maximum height limit, and authorization of an existing 256-square-foot covered patio attached to an existing SFR with a front yard setback of nine feet and one inch in lieu of the required 20 foot minimum front yard setback. The requested modifications to development standards would authorize existing construction.

As part of the Project, the Permittee also requests Ministerial SPR No. RPPL202300019, which is a separate approval of two small additions to the SFR that would increase the existing floor area by approximately 201.75 square feet, a net increase of 11 percent, and also requests a separate approval from the Department of Public Works to close an existing curb cut.

None of the exceptions to an exemption apply to the Project because it is not located in an environmentally sensitive area, is not known to contain scenic or historic resources, and there are no unusual circumstances that would indicate a reasonable possibility that the Project could have a significant effect on the environment. Therefore, the Hearing Officer finds that the Project is categorically exempt from CEQA.

12. **COMMUNITY OUTREACH.** Staff is not aware of any community outreach conducted by the Permittee that occurred before the Report to the Hearing Officer was issued on December 26, 2024.

13. **PUBLIC COMMENTS.** Staff did not receive any public comments before the Report to the Hearing Officer was issued on December 26, 2024.

14. **AGENCY RECOMMENDATIONS.**

A. Department of Public Works: Granted conceptual site plan approval and recommended clearance to public hearing with no conditions on April 18, 2024.

B. Fire Department: Recommended clearance to public hearing with no conditions in a letter dated March 15, 2023.

15. **LEGAL NOTIFICATION.** Pursuant to County Code Section 22.222.120 (Public Hearing Procedure), the community was properly notified of the public hearing by mail and newspaper (Daily Journal and Los Angeles Sentinel). On December 5, 2024, approximately one month prior to the public hearing, a “Notice of Public Hearing” sign was posted on the Project Site, plainly visible from the public right-of-way on West 57th Street. Additionally, the Project was noticed and case materials were available on LA County Planning's website. On November 20, 2024, a total of 43 Notices of Public Hearing were mailed to all property owners as identified on the County Assessor's record within a 500-foot radius from the Project Site, as well as 10 notices to those on the courtesy mailing list for the View Park Zoned District and to any additional interested parties.

GENERAL PLAN CONSISTENCY FINDINGS

16. **LAND USE POLICY.** The Hearing Officer finds that the Project is consistent with the goals and policies of the General Plan because the H9 land use designation is intended for SFRs and their accessory uses, such as this [Projectproject](#).

17. **GOALS AND POLICIES.** The Hearing Officer finds that the Project is consistent with the goals and policies of the General Plan, including the following:

- **Policy LU 5.1:** Encourage a mix of residential land use designations and development regulations that accommodate various densities, building types and styles.
- **Policy LU 10.3:** Consider the built environment of the surrounding area and location in the design and scale of new or remodeled buildings, architectural styles, and reflect appropriate features such as massing, materials, color, detailing or ornament.

The existing unpermitted five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence within the required front yard setback area is consistent with the General Plan's goals and policies that allow for, and encourage, a variety of designs that consider the built environment of the surrounding area. The Project Site is irregular in shape and has three street frontages on its west, south, and east sides. Therefore, the Director determined that there are front yard setbacks on each of these three street frontages pursuant to County Code Section 22.110.080.A (Yard Determination). The Project Site is the only residential property within a 500-foot radius with three street frontages. The existing fence accommodates the unique location of the Project Site by allowing for an enclosed yard space that provides the residents of the SFR with the same privacy enjoyed by the residents of other SFRs in the neighborhood.

The reduced front yard setback for the existing unpermitted covered patio is consistent with the front yard setbacks of surrounding properties. SFRs on properties to the northwest of the Project Site were built with reduced front yard setbacks due to the sloping terrain~~s~~ in the rear of those properties. Therefore, there is a pattern of reduced front yard setbacks in the neighborhood. Furthermore, property frontages to the west and east of the Project Site, along West 57th Street, are primarily corner side yards, where buildings are allowed to have a five-foot setback facing West 57th Street. The proposed ~~Yard-yard Modification-modification~~ would accommodate the unique location of the Project Site by allowing a reduced setback on the West 57th Street frontage~~,. To address line-of-sight issues for pedestrians and vehicular traffic on Alviso Avenue, the Permittee proposes to close the existing drivewaywhich maintains adequate lines-of-sight for pedestrians and vehicular traffic.~~

ZONING CODE CONSISTENCY FINDINGS

18. **PERMITTED USE IN ZONE.** The Hearing Officer finds that the Project is consistent with the R-1 zoning classification because an SFR is permitted in such zone with a ~~Ministerial-ministerial~~ SPR pursuant to County Code Section 22.18.030 (Land Use Regulations for Residential Zones).

19. **YARD DETERMINATION.** The Hearing Officer finds that the Project Site is an irregularly shaped lot with three street frontages and the Director determined that there

are front yard setbacks on each of these three street frontages pursuant to County Code Section 22.110.080.A (Yard Determination).

20. **REQUIRED YARDS.** The Hearing Officer finds that the Project is not consistent with the standards identified in County Code Section 22.18.040 (Development Standards for Residential Zones) because the existing unpermitted 256-square-foot covered patio attached to the existing SFR has a front yard setback of nine feet and one inch in lieu of the required 20 foot minimum front yard setback. However, the proposed Yard Modification will authorize this structure.

The proposed additions to the SFR, to be reviewed separately under Ministerial SPR No. RPPL2023001011, comply with the required front, side, and rear yard setbacks.

21. **FENCES AND WALLS.** The Hearing Officer finds that the Project is not consistent with the standards identified in County Code Section 22.110.070.B (Maximum Height of Fences and Walls) because the existing unpermitted five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence within the required front yard setback area exceeds the three-foot and six-inch maximum height limit. However, the proposed Yard Modification will authorize this structure.

22. **HEIGHT.** The Hearing Officer finds that the Project is consistent with the standard identified in County Code Section 22.18.040 (Development Standards for Residential Zones). The maximum height allowed is 35 feet above grade. The existing building has a maximum height of 13 feet and nine inches, which is within the allowed maximum height, and no increase to the height is proposed.

23. **PARKING.** The Hearing Officer finds that the Project is consistent with the standards identified in County Code Section 22.112.070 (Required Parking Spaces). The existing detached two-car garage on the Project Site was approved for conversion into a detached ADU. No replacement parking is required pursuant to County Code Section 22.140.640.G.1.d (Parking).

YARD MODIFICATION FINDINGS

24. **The Hearing Officer finds that topographic features, subdivision plans, or other site conditions create an unnecessary hardship or unreasonable regulation or make it obviously impractical to require compliance with the yard requirement or setback line.** The Project Site has three street frontages on its west, south, and east sides. Therefore, the Director determined that there are front yard setbacks on each of these three street frontages pursuant to County Code Section 22.110.080.A (Yard Determination). Within a 500-foot radius of the Project Site, this is the only lot which requires a front yard setback on three sides. It is impractical to require the 20-foot minimum front yard setback on all three street frontages and to enforce the three-foot and six-inch maximum height limit for the fence on all three street frontages. The five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence was built for added security and privacy on this unique lot. Furthermore, the front yard setback requirement, combined with the location of the existing detached garage, presents a barrier to identifying an alternative site for the covered patio where it can still be attached to the SFR.

25. **The Hearing Officer finds that the proposed structure is similar to the setbacks of other legally-built structures on adjacent or neighboring properties.** The existing ~~unpermitted-solid wooden~~ fence is similar to other fences on corner lots within the surrounding area. The Permittee provided five examples of neighboring properties with similar fence heights within the corner side and rear yards facing the street. The Permittee also provided six examples of neighboring properties with reduced front yard setbacks, primarily located northwest of the Project Site. Staff's site visit and aerial imagery confirmed that the front yard setbacks in the surrounding area range from five feet to 16 feet, demonstrating that the reduced setback is consistent with the neighborhood pattern.
26. **The Hearing Officer finds that the use, development of land, and application of development standards is in compliance with all applicable provisions of this Title 22.** SFRs and accessory structures, such as ~~the-existing-unpermitted-fences~~ and ~~the-existing-unpermitted-covered patios~~, are allowed in the R-1 Zone with a ministerial SPR. The Project Site is adequate in size and shape to accommodate all other development standards required by the County Code, such as the maximum building height. The County Code allows for the modification of yard setbacks and maximum fence heights, provided that the request meets the required findings. The Permittee requests a modification to the required front yard setback due to the original subdivision plan, which established this lot with three street frontages, making strict compliance impractical. Therefore, ~~this Yard Modification~~ the Project meets the required findings.
27. **The Hearing Officer finds that the use, development of land, and application of development standards, when considered on the basis of the suitability of the site for the particular use or development intended, is so arranged as to avoid traffic congestion, provide for the safety and convenience of bicyclists and pedestrians, including children, senior citizens, and persons with disabilities, insure the protection of public health, safety, and general welfare, prevent adverse effects on neighboring property and is in conformity with good zoning practice.** Due to the location of the Project Site fronting three streets, the Permittee needed conceptual site plan approval from the Department of Public Works. The existing driveway ~~and vehicles entering and exiting the Project Site on Alviso Avenue and sliding gate for parking access currently~~ pose a ~~potential~~ safety risk ~~related to the line-of-sight for pedestrians vehicles entering and exiting the Project Site on Alviso Avenue because the fence height exceeds 42 inches and obscures visibility.~~ The Permittee proposes to close the existing driveway and curb cut on Alviso Avenue if the Project is approved, which would eliminate this concern. Therefore, the Project is designed to remove this potential hazard for pedestrians on the sidewalk, ensuring safety and convenience to others. The proposed covered patio faces the street and is ~~therefore~~ not anticipated to have adverse effects on neighboring properties.
28. **The Hearing Officer finds that the use, development of land, and application of development standards is suitable from the standpoint of functional developmental design.** The proposed modification to the front yard setback

requirement to authorize existing accessory structures will not change the use of the property, increase density, or significantly impact the development pattern of the surrounding area. The existing unpermitted five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence and the existing unpermitted covered patio provide private recreational space and are accessory to the primary use, which is an SFR. The proposed closure of the existing driveway and curb cut, which has been conceptually approved by the Department of Public Works, would maintain the area's pedestrian character and address a potential safety risk related to the line-of-sight for pedestrians due to vehicles entering and exiting the Project Site on Alviso Avenue. Given the unique location of the Project Site, relative to its surroundings, the a Yard Modification is a suitable request.

ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS

29. The Hearing Officer finds that the Project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines ~~Section~~sections 15301 (Class 1, Existing Facilities) and ~~Section~~ 15305 (Class 5, Minor Alterations in Land Use Limitations). The Project would authorize an existing five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence within the required front yard setback area, which exceeds the three-foot and six-inch maximum height limit, and would authorize an existing 256-square-foot covered patio attached to an existing SFR with a front yard setback of nine feet and one inch in lieu of the required 20 foot minimum front yard setback. The requested modifications to development standards would not increase density or change surrounding land use patterns. None of the exceptions to an exemption apply to the Project because it is not located in an environmentally sensitive area, is not known to contain scenic or historic resources, and there are no unusual circumstances that would indicate a reasonable possibility that the Project could have a significant effect on the environment. Therefore, the Hearing Officer finds that the Project is categorically exempt from CEQA.

ADMINISTRATIVE FINDINGS

30. The Hearing Officer finds that it is not necessary to limit the grant term of the Yard Modification because the Project involves an existing SFR, a ~~covered patio, and a fence,~~ and a covered patio.

31. **LOCATION OF DOCUMENTS.** The location of the documents and other materials constituting the record of proceedings upon which the Hearing Officer's decision is based in this matter is at LA County Planning, ~~13th~~13th Floor, Hall of Records, 320 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, California 90012. The custodian of such documents and materials shall be the Section Head of the Metro Development Services Section, LA County Planning.

BASED ON THE FOREGOING, THE HEARING OFFICER CONCLUDES THAT:

A. Topographic features, subdivision plans, or other site conditions create an unnecessary hardship or unreasonable regulation or make it obviously impractical to require compliance with the yard requirement or setback line.

- B. The proposed structure is similar to the setbacks of other legally-built structures on adjacent or neighboring properties.
- C. The use, development of land, and application of development standards is in compliance with all applicable provisions of this Title 22.
- D. The use, development of land, and application of development standards, when considered on the basis of the suitability of the site for the particular use or development intended, is so arranged as to avoid traffic congestion, provide for the safety and convenience of bicyclists and pedestrians, including children, senior citizens, and persons with disabilities, insure the protection of public health, safety, and general welfare, prevent adverse effects on neighboring property and is in conformity with good zoning practice.
- E. The use, development of land, and application of development standards is suitable from the standpoint of functional developmental design.

THEREFORE, THE HEARING OFFICER:

- 1. Finds that the Project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines ~~Section~~sections 15301 (Class 1, Existing Facilities) and ~~Section~~15305 (Class 5, Minor Alterations in Land Use Limitations) categorical exemptions; and
- 2. Approves **YARD MODIFICATION NO. RPPL2023000478**, subject to the attached conditions.

ACTION DATE: January 7, 2025

MG:CS:ES

~~12/26/2024~~01/06/2025

c: Zoning Enforcement, Building and Safety

LOS ANGELES COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING

DRAFT CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL
PROJECT NO. PRJ2023-000349-(2)
YARD MODIFICATION NO. RPPL2023000478

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This Yard Modification authorizes an existing five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence within the required front yard setback area, which exceeds the three-foot and six-inch maximum height limit, and authorizes an existing 256-square-foot covered patio attached to an existing single-family residence with a front yard setback of nine feet and one inch in lieu of the required ~~20-20~~-foot minimum front yard setback in the R-1 (Single-Family Residence) Zone pursuant to Los Angeles County Code ("County Code") Section 22.110.190 (Modifications Authorized) subject to the following conditions of approval:

GENERAL CONDITIONS

1. Unless otherwise apparent from the context, the term "Permittee" shall include the applicant, owner of the property, and any other person, corporation, or other entity making use of this grant.
2. This grant shall not be effective for any purpose until the Permittee, and the owner of the subject property if other than the Permittee, have filed at the office of the Los Angeles County ("County") Department of Regional Planning ("LA County Planning") their affidavit stating that they are aware of and agree to accept all of the conditions of this grant, and that the conditions of the grant have been recorded as required by Condition No. 6, and until all required monies have been paid pursuant to Condition No. 9. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Condition No. 2 and Conditions No. 3, 4, and 8 shall be effective immediately upon the date of final approval of this grant by the County.
3. The Permittee shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the County, its agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action, or proceeding against the County or its agents, officers, or employees to attack, set aside, void, or annul this permit approval, which action is brought within the applicable time period of Government Code section 65009 or any other applicable limitations period. The County shall promptly notify the Permittee of any claim, action, or proceeding and the County shall reasonably cooperate in the defense. If the County fails to promptly notify the Permittee of any claim, action, or proceeding, or if the County fails to cooperate reasonably in the defense, the Permittee shall not thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify, or hold harmless the County.
4. In the event that any claim, action, or proceeding as described above is filed against the County, the Permittee shall within 10 days of the filing make an initial deposit with LA County Planning in the amount of up to \$5,000.00, from which actual costs and expenses shall be billed and deducted for the purpose of defraying the costs or expenses involved in LA County Planning's cooperation in the defense, including but not limited to, depositions, testimony, and other assistance provided to Permittee or Permittee's counsel.

If during the litigation process, actual costs or expenses incurred reach 80 percent of the amount on deposit, the Permittee shall deposit additional funds sufficient to bring the balance up to the amount of \$5,000.00. There is no limit to the number of supplemental deposits that may be required prior to completion of the litigation.

At the sole discretion of the Permittee, the amount of an initial or any supplemental deposit may exceed the minimum amounts defined herein. Additionally, the cost for collection and duplication of records and other related documents shall be paid by the Permittee according to County Code Section 2.170.010.

5. If any material provision of this grant is held or declared to be invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, the permit shall be void and the privileges granted hereunder shall lapse.
6. Prior to the use of this grant, the Permittee, or the owner of the subject property if other than the Permittee, shall **record the terms and conditions** of the grant in the office of the County Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk ("Recorder"). In addition, upon any transfer or lease of the property during the term of this grant, the Permittee, or the owner of the subject property if other than the Permittee, shall promptly provide a copy of the grant and its conditions to the transferee or lessee of the subject property.
7. **This grant shall not have a grant term.**
8. This grant shall expire unless used within two (2) years from the date of final approval of the grant. A single one-year time extension may be requested in writing and with the payment of the applicable fee prior to such expiration date.
9. The subject property shall be maintained and operated in full compliance with the conditions of this grant and any law, statute, ordinance, or other regulation applicable to any development or activity on the subject property. Failure of the Permittee to cease any development or activity not in full compliance shall be a violation of these conditions. No provision of any easement ~~of~~ or any other encumbrance on the property shall exempt the Permittee and/or property owner from compliance with these conditions and applicable regulations. Inspections shall be made to ensure compliance with the conditions of this grant as well as to ensure that any development undertaken on the subject property is in accordance with the approved site plan on file. The Permittee shall deposit with the County the sum of **\$882.00**, which shall be placed in a performance fund and be used exclusively to reimburse LA County Planning for all expenses incurred while inspecting the premises to determine the Permittee's compliance with the conditions of this grant. The fund provides for **two (2) inspections. The first inspection shall occur no less than one (1) year after the date of final approval (January 7, 2026, or after) and the second inspection shall occur no less than three (3) years after the date of final approval (January 7, 2028, or after).** The second inspection may occur on an earlier date upon written request from the Permittee, provided that Condition No. 18 has been satisfied.

If additional inspections are required to ensure compliance with the conditions of this grant, or if any inspection discloses that the subject property is being used in violation

of any one of the conditions of this grant, the Permittee shall be financially responsible and shall reimburse LA County Planning for all additional enforcement efforts necessary to bring the subject property into compliance. The amount charged for additional inspections shall be **\$441.00** per inspection, or the current recovery cost established by LA County Planning at the time any additional inspections are required, whichever is greater.

10. Notice is hereby given that any person violating a provision of this grant is guilty of a misdemeanor. Notice is further given that the Regional Planning Commission ("Commission") or a Hearing Officer may, after conducting a public hearing, revoke or modify this grant, if the Commission or Hearing Officer finds that these conditions have been violated or that this grant has been exercised so as to be detrimental to the public's health or safety or so as to be a nuisance, or as otherwise authorized pursuant to Chapter 22.238 of the County Code.
11. All development pursuant to this grant must be kept in full compliance with the County Fire Code to the satisfaction of the County Fire Department.
12. All development pursuant to this grant shall conform with the requirements of the County Department of Public Works to the satisfaction of said department.
13. All development pursuant to this grant shall comply with the requirements of Title 22 of the County Code and of the specific zoning of the subject property, unless specifically modified by this grant, as set forth in these conditions, including the approved Exhibit "A," or a revised Exhibit "A" approved by the Director of LA County Planning ("Director").

~~14. The Permittee shall maintain the subject property in a neat and orderly fashion. The Permittee shall maintain free of litter all areas of the premises over which the Permittee has control.~~

~~15. All structures, walls and fences open to public view shall remain free of graffiti or other extraneous markings, drawings, or signage that was not approved by LA County Planning. These shall include any of the above that do not directly relate to the business being operated on the premises or that do not provide pertinent information about said premises. The only exceptions shall be seasonal decorations or signage provided under the auspices of a civic or non-profit organization.~~

~~In the event of graffiti or other extraneous markings occurring, the Permittee shall remove or cover said markings, drawings, or signage within 48 hours of such notification, weather permitting. Paint utilized in covering such markings shall be of a color that matches, as closely as possible, the color of the adjacent surfaces.~~

~~16-14.~~ The subject property shall be developed and maintained in substantial conformance with the variance yard modification granted and reflected on the plans marked Exhibit "A." If changes to any of the plans marked Exhibit "A" are required as a result of instruction given at the public hearing, a modified Exhibit "A" shall be submitted to LA County Planning by March 7, 2025.

~~17.15.~~ In the event that subsequent revisions to the approved Exhibit “A” are submitted, the Permittee shall submit the proposed plans to the Director for review and approval. All revised plans must substantially conform to the originally approved Exhibit “A.” All revised plans must be accompanied by the written authorization of the property owner(s) and applicable fee for such revision.

PROJECT SITE-SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

~~18.16.~~ This grant shall authorize an existing five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence within the front yard setback areas fronting West 57th Street and Alviso Avenue, as depicted on the approved Exhibit “A.” Portions of the existing sliding vehicle gate shall be replaced with a fence and a pedestrian gate that match the appearance of the existing solid wooden fence.

~~19.17.~~ The Permittee shall submit street improvement plans to the Department of Public Works related to the closure of the existing driveway and curb cut, as depicted on the approved Exhibit “A,” by no later than March 7, 2025.

~~20.18.~~ The Permittee shall close the existing driveway and curb cut as depicted on the approved Exhibit “A.”

~~21.19.~~ This grant shall authorize a modification of the front yard setback, fronting West 57th Street, to nine feet and one inch in lieu of the required 20 feet to authorize an existing 256-square-foot covered patio, as depicted on the approved Exhibit “A.” This modification does not authorize any new construction or any other setback reduction.

~~22.20.~~ The subject property shall be maintained in compliance with all applicable Title 22 development standards.

PROPOSED ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION

DETERMINATION DATE: ~~November 5, 2024~~ January 7, 2025
PROJECT NUMBER: PRJ2023-000349-(2)
PERMIT NUMBER: Yard Modification No. RPPL2023000478
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT: 2
PROJECT LOCATION: 5486 Valley Ridge Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90043 View
Park-Windsor Hills
OWNER: Gregory Rachal
APPLICANT: Isabel Giraldo
CASE PLANNER: Evan Sahagun, Planner
ESahagun@planning.lacounty.gov

Los Angeles County (“County”) completed an initial review for the above-mentioned Project. Based on examination of the Project proposal and the supporting information included in the application, the County proposes that an Exemption is the appropriate environmental documentation under the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”). The Project qualifies for a Class 1, Existing Facilities and Class 5, Minor Alterations in Land Use Limitations Categorical Exemption under State CEQA Guidelines Sections-sections 15301 and 15305, respectively.

The applicant requests a Yard-yard Modification-modification to authorize an existing five-foot and eight-inch-tall solid wooden fence within the required front yard setback area, which exceeds the three-foot and six-inch maximum height limit, and to authorize an existing 256-square-foot covered patio attached to an existing single-family residence (“SFR”) with a front yard setback of nine feet and one inch in lieu of the required 20-20-foot minimum front yard setback.

As part of the Project, the Permittee also requests Ministerial Site Plan Review No. RPPL202300019, which is a separate approval of two small additions to the SFR that would increase the existing floor area by approximately 201.75 square feet, a net increase of 11 percent, and also requests a separate approval from the Department of Public Works to close an existing curb cut.

None of the exceptions to an exemption apply because the Project is not located within a Significant Ecological Area, on a scenic highway or on a hazardous waste site, is not known to contain historic resources, and there are no unusual circumstances that would indicate a reasonable possibility that the Project could have a significant or cumulative effect on the environment. Therefore, Staff recommends that the Hearing Officer determine that the Project is categorically exempt from CEQA.