

## Los Angeles County Department of Regional Planning

Planning for the Challenges Ahead



Amy J. Bodek, AICP Director of Regional Planning

> Dennis Slavin Chief Deputy Director, Regional Planning

## SUPPLEMENTAL MEMO TO THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

DATE ISSUED:	July 20, 2023		
MEETING DATE:	7/26/2023	AGENDA ITEM:	5(a)
PROJECT NUMBER:	2022-003630 (1-5)		
PROJECT NAME:	Multifamily Residential Parking S	tudy	
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT:	1-5		
PROJECT LOCATION:	Countywide		
PROJECT PLANNER:	Alyson Stewart, Senior Regional ordinance@planning.lacounty.go		

This item is a Director's Report on Parking & Housing, which will discuss various parking reform strategies, including Transportation Demand Management and Shared/Off-site Parking, to manage parking demand for multifamily housing.

Since the posting of the memo with supporting documents on July 13, 2023, staff received additional materials in the form of comment letters, which are attached here.

- Thirty-one additional letters were received in support of the issue.
- Four additional letters were received in opposition of the issue.

Memo Reviewed By:

A. Bruce Durbin

Bruce Durbin, Supervising Regional Planner

Memo Approved By:

Connie Chung, Deputy Director

June 7, 2023

County of Los Angeles Regional Planning Commission 320 West Temple Street, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, CA 90012

Subject: AB-2097

Dear Regional Planning Commission,

As a resident of: Avalon Gardens Community Association in the Willowbrook District.

Governor Newsom signed into law Assembly Bill 2097. AB-2097 abolishes parking requirements within one mile of major transit stops, this means the developers will no longer be required to build any pre-set minimum number of parking spaces per residential unit or per square foot of commercial developments.

AB-2097 would essentially allow developers to dictate parking requirements in large areas of many cities because the definition of public transit includes entire bus routes with fifteen-minute service intervals. Restricting parking requirements within one-half mile of a high-frequency transit route does not guarantee individuals living, working, or shopping on those parcels will actually use transit.

Many residents will continue to own automobiles and require nearby parking, which will only increase parking demand and congestion. California housing on commercial lands will limit required parking, this is problematic. Our community is currently experiencing a shortage of available parking spaces.

Data shows that changes such as AB-2097 has affected home property values negatively.

Thank you for your attention to this issue and your hard work to improve our community.

June 7, 2023

County of Los Angeles Regional Planning Commission 320 West Temple Street, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, CA 90012

Subject: AB-2097

Dear Regional Planning Commission,

As a resident of: Avalon Gardens Community Association in the Willowbrook District.

Governor Newsom signed into law Assembly Bill 2097. AB-2097 abolishes parking requirements within one mile of major transit stops, this means the developers will no longer be required to build any pre-set minimum number of parking spaces per residential unit or per square foot of commercial developments.

AB-2097 would essentially allow developers to dictate parking requirements in large areas of many cities because the definition of public transit includes entire bus routes with fifteen-minute service intervals. Restricting parking requirements within one-half mile of a high-frequency transit route does not guarantee individuals living, working, or shopping on those parcels will actually use transit.

Many residents will continue to own automobiles and require nearby parking, which will only increase parking demand and congestion. California housing on commercial lands will limit required parking, this is problematic. Our community is currently experiencing a shortage of available parking spaces.

Data shows that changes such as AB-2097 has affected home property values negatively.

Thank you for your attention to this issue and your hard work to improve our community.

Sincerely,

Mun

Contact information: 132 E 1395 Street LOS Angeles Carf GOODI 30-488-8872

June 7, 2023

County of Los Angeles Regional Planning Commission 320 West Temple Street, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, CA 90012

Subject: AB-2097

Dear Regional Planning Commission,

As a resident of: Avalon Gardens Community Association in the Willowbrook District.

Governor Newsom signed into law Assembly Bill 2097. AB-2097 abolishes parking requirements within one mile of major transit stops, this means the developers will no longer be required to build any pre-set minimum number of parking spaces per residential unit or per square foot of commercial developments.

AB-2097 would essentially allow developers to dictate parking requirements in large areas of many cities because the definition of public transit includes entire bus routes with fifteen-minute service intervals. Restricting parking requirements within one-half mile of a high-frequency transit route does not guarantee individuals living, working, or shopping on those parcels will actually use transit.

Many residents will continue to own automobiles and require nearby parking, which will only increase parking demand and congestion. California housing on commercial lands will limit required parking, this is problematic. Our community is currently experiencing a shortage of available parking spaces.

Data shows that changes such as AB-2097 has affected home property values negatively.

Thank you for your attention to this issue and your hard work to improve our community.

Sincerely,

**Contact information:** 

MANIL GRENGER 15700 AMALON BLUD GAMBENA CH GOZES



July 19, 2023

Los Angeles Regional Planning Commission 320 W. Temple Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Los Angeles County Regional Planning Commissioners,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. In the months ahead, we at Abundant Housing urge you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Thank you so much for your service. We look forward to continued engagement with you on this important policy and welcome any questions you might have.

Sincerely,

Leonora Camner

Leonora Camner Executive Director Abundant Housing LA

Scott Eptein

Scott Epstein Director of Policy and Research Abundant Housing LA

#### **Alyson Stewart**

From:	mike_bullock@earthlink.net
Sent:	Friday, July 14, 2023 10:33 AM
То:	Drp Parking Study
Subject:	[WARNING : A/V UNSCANNABLE]Comments on a Car Parking system for Housing and everything
• • • •	else AND why it is needed
Attachments:	FW: Scoping the EIR for the OTC Redevelopment Project

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

LA County Regional Planning Commission,

Unbundling the cost of parking at an apartment, from the rent, is the decent and environmentallysound thing to do. We have known that for decades. But what about protecting the neighborhood from the intrusion of parked cars? Is permit parking fair, given the high value of some on-street parking? People do not own the parking in front of their house or apartment. Or store for that matter. How should that parking be managed? This all comes down to how to best manage car parking. All types of parking. And given the new technology that could be used, by a car parking specialist.

What is needed is a single car-parking system that works for all types of parking, such as on-street, employment, at a store, at a train station, a shopping center, mixed-use, and so on.

I have presented my paper on this topic at numerous conferences. The key is that the parking is operated for the financial gain of the people for whom the parking is built. Those people (shoppers, employees, train riders, tenants, and so on) get the earnings from the parking, which has been built for them.

Read the attached file, with a focus on parking.

Also, you need to be fully aware of the CARB Scoping Plan. It means that no MPO needs to worry about SB 375. SB 375 has been overcome by events.

In summary, the Scoping Plan says this:

- Driving in 2030 must be reduced 25% from 2019 levels. Note this is a 30% larger reduction (25% compared to 19%, which is the SANDAG value) than SB 375 and it is 5 years sooner.
  - To do that, pricing strategies will be needed.
    - "Priced parking" (note that I call this "managed parking") must be widespread by 2030.
    - A Road Use Charge, by 2025 (note, this means ASAP)

Please call me with comments or questions. Note finally that the monthly charge for an assigned parking space that a tenant wants, should be computed per minute over the month, with a higher rate when the car is not present, compared to a lower rate, when the car is present. This would motivate people to drive less. This innovation occurred to me recently, so it is not in the papers and the Power Point presentation. For an assigned parking space at work (most parking will not be assigned at work) the rate differential is reversed.

Yes, this is complicated. That is why this should be done by a vendor, selected with an RFP process. The CEO of ACE (Keith Jones) would submit a proposal and I can document that statement.

I will add in some information from the Scoping Plan.

On Page 4 of Appendix E, the truth (note: I say "truth" because I have been making similar calculations for over 10 years) is stated about our need to reduce VMT:

## 2.1 Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis.

Contrary to popular belief, zero-emission vehicles (ZEV) alone are not enough to solve the climate crisis. The 2022 Scoping Plan illustrates that despite cleaner vehicles and low-carbon fuels, the path to carbon neutrality by 2045 also depends on reducing per capita VMT (the total passenger vehicle miles driven by an average person in California on any given day). To meet the carbon neutrality goal, the Scoping Plan proposes reducing VMT from 24.6 miles per day in 2019 to 18.4 miles by 2030 (a 25 percent reduction) and to 17.2 miles per day by 2045 (a 30 percent reduction).

Also:

To achieve this vision, the State should lead efforts to:

1.Authorize and implement roadway pricing strategies and reallocate revenues to equitably improve transit, bicycling, and other sustainable transportation choices. Pricing strategies take many forms and can include fees for miles driven, cordon fees for operating vehicles in designated areas, parking fees, fees on congestion impact of ride-hailing services, and dynamic fees on highway lanes and other strategic roads to manage congestion.

There are better quotes in the attached file and in its attached files, regarding the need for priced parking.

Your work could not be more important. Human survival is at stake. I wish you all the best in the performance of your work.

## Highest regards,

Mike Bullock 1800 Bayberry Drive Oceanside, CA 92054 760 421 9482

Former California Democratic Party Delegate, 76th Assembly District (author of 2 adopted resolutions and 5 Platform changes) Former Elected (now Associate) Member of the San Diego County Democratic Party Central Committee (author of 5 adopted resolutions) Air and Waste Management Association published and presented papers:

Author, The Development of California Light-Duty Vehicle (LDV) Requirements to Support Climate Stabilization: Fleet-Emission Rates & Per-Capita Driving

Author, A Climate-Killing Regional Transportation Plan Winds Up in Court: Background and Remedies Co-author, A Plan to Efficiently and Conveniently Unbundle Car Parking Cost

Quotes from the Secretary General of the UN:

- 1.) We have a Code Red Climate Emergency
- 2.)We are solidly on a path to an unlivable planet.
- 3.)We are driving towards Climate Hell with our foot on the accelerator.
- 4.)We are dangerously close to the point of no return.

# **Dividend-Account Parking: Feasible & Enforceable Mitigation**

#### Updated from Air and Waste Management Association Paper 2010-A-554-AWMA

#### Mike R. Bullock

Satellite Systems Engineer (36 years), now retired, 1800 Bayberry Drive, Oceanside, CA 92054

## ABSTRACT

*Bundled-cost* and *bundled-benefit* car-parking systems (generally called "free parking") are defined, showing that they are not free and that they increase the drive-alone mode, since non-drivers lose just as much money as those that use the parking.

*Dividend-Account Parking* (DAP) is defined as a parking system in which all of the parking spaces are *shared* by all drivers that are driving a car that is registered in the system. "Registered" means that the car can be associated with a person having an *account* in the system. The parking is *value-priced*, with an option for a *congestion pricing overlay*. The critical final feature is that the earnings (*dividends*) are given to the people, for whom the parking is built, such as employees, shoppers, residents of apartments or condominiums, students, or train riders. It is stated that this system is defined in the California Democratic Party (CDP) Platform, making it the official policy of the largest political, environmental, and public-policy-advocacy organization in California. It is also at the center of the Sierra Club's lawsuit against the San Diego County's Climate Action Plan (CAP). The court has found in multiple rulings that DAP is feasible mitigation.

Motivations for change are provided, mostly based on an Air and Waste Management Association paper, *Climate-Stabilizing California Light-Duty-Vehicle (LDV) Requirements*. The following is shown:

- 1. Parking reform is needed, since fleet electrification, while critically needed (ASAP), cannot, under even the most wildly-optimistic assumptions, achieve the needed GHG emission reduction, for light-duty vehicles (LDVs), soon enough to achieve climate-stabilizing targets.
- 2. Per-capita driving must be reduced.

It is asserted that parking reform has a large role to play.

DAP is presented as a feasible, enforceable, mitigation measure for any Climate Action Plan or for any application where sustainability is a goal.

#### 100 word summary:

*Bundled-cost* and *bundled-benefit* car-parking systems (erroneously called "free") are defined, showing that they are not free and that they increase the drive-alone mode, since non-drivers lose just as much money as drivers, due to the parking.

Dividend Account Parking (DAP) is presented as a mitigation measure for any Climate Action Plan (CAP) or for any application where sustainability is a goal. The parking is shared, convenient, fully automated, and value priced with a congestion-pricing algorithm. Earnings go to those losing money because the parking is provided.

Motivations are provided, based on an Air and Waste Management Association (AWMA) paper.

*Dividend-Account Parking* (DAP) is defined as a parking system in which all of the parking spaces are *shared* by all drivers that are driving a car that is registered in the system. "Registered" means that the car can be associated with a person having an *account* in the system. The parking is *value-priced*, with an option for a *congestion pricing overlay*. The critical final feature is that the earnings (*dividends*) are given to the people, for whom the parking is built, such as employees, shoppers, residents of apartments or condominiums, students, or train riders. It is stated that this system is defined in the California Democratic Party (CDP) Platform, making it the official policy of the largest political, environmental, and public-policy-advocacy organization in California. It is also at the center of the Sierra Club's lawsuit against the San Diego County's Climate Action Plan (CAP). The court has found in multiple rulings that DAP is feasible mitigation.

Motivations for change are provided, mostly based on an Air and Waste Management Association paper, *Climate-Stabilizing California Light-Duty-Vehicle (LDV) Requirements*. The following is shown:

- 1. Parking reform is needed, since fleet electrification, while critically needed (ASAP), cannot, under even the most wildly-optimistic assumptions, achieve the needed GHG emission reduction, for light-duty vehicles (LDVs), soon enough to achieve climate-stabilizing targets.
- 2. Per-capita driving must be reduced.

It is asserted that parking reform has a large role to play.

DAP is presented as a feasible, enforceable, mitigation measure for any Climate Action Plan or for any application where sustainability is a goal.

It shows documented driving reductions due to the pricing of parking. It notes that although the benefits of priced and shared parking are known, such parking has not been widely implemented, due to understandable concerns. It states that a system solution, called *Dividend-Account Parking*, can overcome these concerns, because it would be is easy to use, share, understand, and support. The system operates the parking to maximize the financial gain of those losing money because of the parking. Eight background informational items are provided, including how value-priced parking would help California achieve greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction targets. Arguments for less parking, shared parking, and priced parking are made. Barriers to progress are identified. The fair pricing of parking is described. Seven goals of *Dividend-Account Parking* are listed. Eleven definitions and concepts that define *Dividend-Account Parking* are given. This includes a method to compute a baseline price of parking and how to adjust that price instantaneously to keep the vacancy above 15%. That price adjustment implements "Congestion Pricing." This information is sufficient to support a "Request for Proposal" (RFP) process to get a *Dividend-Account Parking* design. An implementation strategy is provided.

## **INTRODUCTION:**

It has been well established that appropriately priced parking will significantly reduce driving<sup>1</sup>. Most case studies presented in Table 1 are evaluations of the most general type of "car-parking cash-out": *a program that pays employees extra money each time they get to work without* 

*driving*. They show that a price differential between using parking and not using parking will significantly reduce driving, even when transit is described as poor. Since driving *must* be reduced<sup>2</sup>, the pricing of parking is desirable.

Shared parking is also recognized as desirable because it can sometimes result in less parking being needed.

Although the advantages of pricing and sharing parking have been recognized for many years, these practices are still rare. This paper identifies some of the reasons for this lack of progress. The pricing and sharing method of this paper has a natural transparency and ease of use that would reduce many of the concerns. This paper also suggests that those governments that have the necessary resources can take the lead role in developing and implementing the described systems. These governments will recover their investments, over time.

This paper describes how parking facilities could be tied together and operated in an optimum system, named *Dividend Account Parking (DAP)*. The description of *Dividend Account Parking (DAP)* is sufficient to support a "Request for Proposal" process, leading to full implementation.

There are two distinct parts to *Dividend Account Parking (DAP)*. The first is how to set the price. The second is how to distribute the earnings. Briefly, the earnings go to the individuals in the group for whom the parking is built.

Location	Number of Workers (a) Number of Firms	1995 \$'s Per Mo.	Parking Use Decrease
Group A: Areas with poor put			
West Los Angeles	3500 @ 100+	\$81	15%
Cornell University, Ithaca, NY	9000 Faculty & Staff	\$34	26%
San Fernando Valley, Los Angeles	<b>850 @ 1</b>	\$37	30%
Costa Mesa, CA	Not Shown	\$37	22%
	Average for Group	\$47	23%
Group B: Areas with fair pub	lic transportation		
Los Angeles Civic Center	10,000+ @ "Several"	\$125	36%
Mid-Wilshire Blvd, Los Angeles	1 "Mid-Size" Firm	\$89	38%
Washington DC Suburbs	Washington DC Suburbs5,500 @ 3		26%
Downtown Los Angeles 5,000 @ 118		\$126	25%
	Average for Group	\$102	31%
Group C: Areas with good pu	blic transportation	1	
U. of Washington, Seattle, WA	50,000 employees, students	\$18	24%
Downtown Ottawa, Canada	3,500 government staff	\$72	18%
Bellevue, WA	430 @ 1	\$54	39%*
Average for Group, except Bellevue, WA Case <sup>*</sup> \$45			21%
<b>Overall Average, Excluding Bellevue, WA Case<sup>*</sup></b>			25%

Table 1	<b>Eleven</b> Cases	of Pricing Impact on	<b>Parking Demand</b>

\* Bellevue, WA case was not used in the averages because its walk/bike facilities also improved and those improvements could have caused part of the decrease in driving.

## PERTINENT BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- Vehicle miles traveled (VMT) are a major cause of global warming and pollution<sup>2, 3</sup>.
- California's Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) will need to adopt strategies that reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT), in order to meet SB375 GHG reduction targets, to be issued by the California Air Resources Board in late 2010, for years 2020 and 2035<sup>2</sup>.
- The appropriate pricing of parking is one of the least costly documented tools to reduce VMT.
- New technologies, such as sensors feeding computer-generated billing, offer the potential to efficiently bill drivers for parking and alert law enforcement of trespassers.
- Reformed parking policies can increase fairness, so that, for example, people who use transit or walk do not have to pay higher prices or suffer reduced wages, due to parking.
- Methods to unbundle parking cost are inefficient unless they support the spontaneous sharing of parking spaces. Shared parking with unbundled cost would ultimately allow cities to require significantly less parking.
- Typical systems of timed parking and metered parking are far from ideal. Parking has no automated record keeping, so it is difficult to know where there is too much or too little.
- Good policies will eventually let cities turn parking minimums into parking maximums.

## A GLIMPSE INTO A POSSIBLE FUTURE

Jason is driving to work for the first time in several years. He has decided to save money by carrying home a new 3-D, big-screen computer, which he plans to purchase at a store near his office after work. He wanted to avoid paying delivery charges.

Things have been changing around his office development since they unbundled the cost of parking at the near-by train station. Many people who caught the early trains and lived close to the station stopped driving and parking in the best parking spaces; demand for housing close to the station went up; and wealthy riders, who insisted on driving, did so, confidant that they could always find parking as close to the platform as their schedules required, due to congestion pricing. Who would have guessed how much those people were willing to pay? It was shocking. Parking-lot earnings, paid to round-trip train riders, meant that the net cost to ride the train went significantly down. Ridership and neighborhood vitality both went significantly up. All Jason knew was that the price to park at his office had been going up yearly because of increased land values. His parking-lot earnings from his office had been increasing almost every month, due to the ripple effect of train riders parking off-site at cheaper parking. Some of them were using his office parking.

As he pulls out of his driveway, he tells his GPS navigation unit his work hours (it already knew his office location), the location of the store where he plans to buy the computer, and his estimated arrival and departure times at the store. He tells the GPS unit he wants to park once, park no more than 1 block from the store, walk no more than 1 mile total, and pay no more than an average of \$2 per hour to park. He is not surprised to hear the GPS tell him that his request is

impossible. He tells the GPS he will pay an average of \$3 per hour and learns that the GPS has located parking.

It guides him into a church parking lot. He hopes the church will use his money wisely. The GPS tells him the location of a bus stop he could use to get to work and the bus's next arrival time at the stop. With automatic passenger identification and billing, the bus has become easy to use, except that it is often crowded. Jason gets out of the car and walks to work, with no action required regarding the parking.

Three weeks later, when Jason gets his monthly statement for his charges and income for automotive road use, transit use, parking charges, and parking earnings, he finds that the day's parking did indeed cost about \$30 for the 10 total hours that he parked. He notes that the parking-lot earnings for his office parking averaged about \$10 per day that month. He then notices the parking lot earnings from the store, where he spent about \$1000 dollars. He sees that the parking-lot earnings percent for the store that month was 1.7%, giving him about \$17. So for the day, Jason only spent a net of about \$3 on parking. Then he realized that he should have had the computer delivered after all. If he would have bicycled that day, as he usually did, he would have still gotten the \$27 earnings from the two parking facilities and he would have paid nothing for parking. So the choice to drive cost him \$30. He remembers that the delivery would have only been \$25 dollars. Oh well. He enjoyed his before-work and after-work walks.

## THE CASE FOR LESS PARKING

Less parking will support more compact development.<sup>1</sup> This makes walking and biking more enjoyable and less time consuming. There would certainly be less "dead space", which is how parking lots feel to people, whether they arrive by car or not, after they become pedestrians.

Since parking can be expensive, less parking can reduce overhead costs significantly, such as leasing expense and parking-lot maintenance cost. Less overhead means more profit and less expense for everyone. A need for less parking can create redevelopment opportunities at existing developments and reduce project cost at new developments.

At new developments, car-parking costs could prevent a project from getting built.<sup>2</sup>

## THE CASE FOR SHARED PARKING

Shared parking for mixed uses means that less parking is needed. For example, shared parking could be used mostly by employees during the day and mostly by residents at night.

Fully shared parking means that very little parking would be off limits to anyone. In a central business district with shared parking, drivers would be more likely to park one time per visit, even when going to several locations. Pedestrian activity adds vitality to any area.

## THE CASE FOR APPROPRIATELY-PRICED PARKING

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is especially true of surface parking, which only accommodates 120 cars per acre.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> On September 23, 2008, a panel of developers reviewed the Oceanside, Ca. "Coast Highway Vision" <u>http://www.ci.oceanside.ca.us/pdf/chv\_finalvisionstrategicplan.pdf</u>. Parts of this plan were described as smart growth.

At the review, developer Tom Wiegel said, "Parking is the number 1 reason to do nothing," where "do nothing" meant "build no project." The other developers at the meeting agreed.

## To Reduce Driving Relative to Zero Pricing

#### Traditional Charging or Paying Cash-out Payments

As shown in the Introduction, this relationship (pricing parking reduces driving) is not new.<sup>3</sup>

Using results like Table 1, at least one study<sup>4</sup> has used an assumption of widespread pricing to show how driving reductions could help meet greenhouse gas (GHG) target reductions. Dr. Silva Send of EPIC <u>http://www.sandiego.edu/epic/ghgpolicy/</u> assumes that all work locations with 100 employees or more in San Diego County will implement cash-out, to result in 12% less driving to work. Currently, almost all employees in San Diego County "park for free", unless they happen to work in a downtown core area.

#### Current, Best-Practice "Unbundling"

The "best-practice" use of the phrase, "unbundled parking cost", is to describe the case where either the cost of parking, for the case of a condominium, or the rent for parking, for the case of an apartment, is separated from either the purchase price and common fees or the rent of the dwelling unit.

This gives the resident families the choice of selecting the number of parking spaces they would like to rent or buy, including the choice of zero. This would tend to reduce the average number of cars owned per dwelling unit and, in this way, would also tend to reduce driving. Its major drawback is that this method does not encourage sharing.

#### To Increase Fairness and Protect the US Economy

It is stated above that almost all employees in San Diego County "park for free". Of course there is really no such thing as "parking for free". So-called "free parking" always reduces wages or increases costs. At a work site, it reduces everyone's wage, even those employees that never drive. At an apartment complex, so-called "free parking" increases the rent. Therefore, "free parking" at work or at apartments violates the fundamental rule of the free market, which is that people should pay for what they use and not be forced to pay for what they do not use. Parking should at least be priced to achieve fairness to non-drivers.

The US economy would also benefit. Reductions in driving would lead to reductions in oil imports, which would reduce the US trade deficit.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For many years the Victoria Transport Policy Institute (VTPI) has been recognized as a source of reliable information on "Transportation Demand Management", or TDM.

From http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm72.htm#\_Price\_Parking:

Even a relatively small parking fee can cause significant travel impacts and provide significant TDM benefits.

<sup>&</sup>quot;TDM Benefits" refers to the many public and private benefits of having fewer people choosing to drive.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> From <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Balance\_of\_trade#Warren\_Buffett\_on\_trade\_deficits</u>, Warren Buffet wrote in 2006,

<sup>&</sup>quot;The U.S. trade deficit is a bigger threat to the domestic economy than either the federal budget deficit or consumer debt and could lead to political turmoil. Right now, the rest of the world owns \$3 trillion more of us than we own of them."

## **BARRIERS TO PROGRESS**

Given all this, it might seem that the widespread pricing of parking should have happened by now. However there are barriers. In 2007, a majority of the City Council of Cupertino, Ca. indicated that they wanted their City Manger to negotiate reduced parking requirements with any company that would agree to pay sufficient cash-out payments. To this date, no company, including Apple Inc., has expressed an interest. Most companies probably perceive cash-out as expensive. Even if they realize they could get a reduced parking requirement in exchange for paying sufficient cash-out amounts and even if the economics worked in support of this action (quite possible where land is expensive), they want to stay focused on their core business, instead of getting involved in new approaches to parking, real estate, and redevelopment.

On the other hand, simply charging for parking and then giving all the employees a pay raise is probably going to run into opposition from the employees, who will feel that they would be losing a useful benefit.

In addition, neighbors fear the intrusion of parked cars on their streets. Permit parking, which could offer protection, is not always embraced. City Council members know that a sizable fraction of voting citizens believe that there can actually never be too much "free parking", Professor Shoup's famous book<sup>5</sup> notwithstanding. Some Council members probably feel that way themselves.

It doesn't help that current methods of charging for downtown parking are often very inefficient.<sup>5</sup> For example, downtown Oceanside, California has parking meters that will only accept coins. Besides this, all their on-street, downtown parking is timed, with maximums from 10 minutes to 4 hours. These time limits are enforced by a city employee, who applies chalk from a tire to the street and then records the time. However, by watching the time and moving their car soon enough, drivers can avoid getting a ticket. Of course, they could instead drive to the mall and not have to worry about having coins or elapsed time since parking. It is not surprising that downtown merchants often object to charging for parking.

In summary, those that resist charging for parking, based on their perceptions, include

- Companies, who fear the complexity and expense of paying cash-out payments;
- Employees, who fear losing a current benefit;
- City leaders, who fear the political repercussions;
- Downtown patrons, who dislike the inconvenience and worry;
- Downtown business owners, who fear that it will drive away customers.

## THE COST, VALUE, AND FAIR PRICE OF PARKING

## **Estimated and Actual Capital Cost**

#### Surface Parking

One acre of surface parking will accommodate 120 cars. Land zoned for mixed use is sometimes expensive. At \$1.2 million per acre, the land for a single parking space costs \$10,000. Construction cost should be added to this to get the actual, as-built cost of each parking space.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> According to Bern Grush, Chief Scientist of Skymeter Corporation <u>http://www.skymetercorp.com/cms/index.php</u>, often two-thirds of the money collected from parking meters is used for collection and enforcement costs.

Estimated cost can be determined by using appraised land value and construction estimates. For new developments, after the parking is constructed, it is important to note the actual, as-built cost.

#### Parking-Garage Parking

One acre of parking-garage will accommodate considerably more than 120 cars. The construction cost of the garage and the value of its land can be added together to get the total cost. Dividing that total cost by the number of parking spaces yields the total, as-built cost of each parking space. Adding levels to a parking garage may seem like a way to cut the cost of each parking space, for the case of expensive land. However, there is a limit to the usefulness of this strategy because the taller the parking garage, the more massive the supporting structural members must be on the lower levels, which increases total cost. Parking-garage parking spaces are often said to cost between \$20,000 and \$40,000. The actual costs should be noted.

#### **Underground Parking**

In order to compute an estimate for the cost of a parking space that is under a building, it is necessary to get an estimate of the building cost with and without the underground parking. The difference, divided by the number of parking spaces, yields the cost of each parking space. The cost or value of land plays no role in the cost of this parking. However, it does not follow that this parking is cheap. Underground parking spaces are often said to cost between \$60,000 and \$90,000 dollars each. Although there will be an "as built" cost of the building with the parking, there will never be an "as built" cost of the building without the parking. However, after the construction is done, the estimate for the cost of the underground parking should be reconsidered and re-estimated if that is needed. The final, best-estimate cost should be noted.

#### Value

Initially, value and cost are the same. For surface parking and parking-garage parking, the value would initially be the same as the as-built cost. For underground parking, the value would initially be the same as the best-estimate cost. However, over time, the value must be updated. Both construction costs and land-value costs will change. The value assigned to a parking place should always be based on the current conditions.

## **Fair Pricing**

Parking space "values", as described above, must first be converted to a yearly price by using a reasonable conversion factor. This conversion factor could be based on either the "cost of money" or the "earnings potential of money". It is expected that this conversion factor would be 2% to 5% during times of low interest rates and slow growth; but could be over 10% during times of high-interest and high growth. For example, if the surface parking value is \$12,000 and it is agreed upon to use 5% as the conversion factor, then each parking spot should generate \$600 per year, just to cover capital costs. The amount needed for operations, collection, maintenance, depreciation, and any special applicable tax is then added to the amount that covers capital cost. This sum is the amount that needs to be generated in a year, by the parking space.

The yearly amount of money to cover capital cost needs to be re-calculated every year or so, since both the value and the conversion factor will, in general, change each year. The cost of operations, collection, maintenance, depreciation, and any special applicable tax will also need to be reconsidered.

Once the amount generated per year is known, the base price, per unit year, can be computed by dividing it (the amount generated per year) by the estimated fraction of time that the space will

be occupied, over a year. For example, if a parking space needs to generate \$900 per year but it will only be occupied 50% of the time, the time rate charge is \$1800 per year. This charge rate per year can then be converted to an hourly or even a per-minute rate. The estimated fraction of time that the parking is occupied over a year will need to be reconsidered at least yearly.

## NEW DEFINITIONS TO PROMOTE AN OBJECTIVE VIEW OF PRICING

- The "fair price" means the price that accounts for all costs.
- The "baseline amount of driving" means the driving that results from the application of the fair price.
- "Zero transportation demand management" ("zero TDM") is the amount of demand management that results when the fair price is used. It will result in the baseline amount of driving.
- "Negative TDM" refers to the case where the price is set below the fair price. This will cause driving to exceed the baseline amount. Since TDM is commonly thought to be an action that reduces driving, it follows that negative TDM would have the opposite effect.
- "Positive TDM" refers to the case where the price is set above the fair price. This would cause the amount of driving to fall below the baseline amount.

Clearly, so-called "free parking" is an extreme case of negative TDM. The only way to further encourage driving would be to have a system that pays a driver for the time their car is parked.

## GOALS OF THE "DIVIDEND ACCOUNT PARKING" CAR-PARKING SYSTEM (FORMERLY "INTELLIGENT PARKING")

- There is only one third-party vendor (or several, collaborating so closely that users are unaffected compared to a single operator) operating all parking. ("All parking" does not include driveways and garages in single-family homes.) *Dividend Account Parking* is designed and installed by regional or state government, using low-bid contractors, with design and start-up costs covered by the overhead portion of collection fees.
- Nearly all parking is shared. Almost always, anyone can park anywhere. Those who want exclusive rights to parking will pay "24/7" (all day, every day).
- Parking is operated so that the potential users of parking will escape the expense of parking by choosing to not use the parking. This characteristic is named "unbundled" because the cost of parking is effectively unbundled from other costs.
- Parking is priced and marketed to eliminate the need to drive around looking for parking.
- Parking at any desired price is made as easy as possible to find and use.
- Records of the use of each parking space are kept, to facilitate decisions to either add or subtract parking spaces.
- The special needs of disabled drivers, the privacy of all drivers, and, if desired, the economic interests of low-income drivers are protected.

## DEFINITIONS & CONCEPTS OF DIVIDEND ACCOUNT PARKING (DAP)

## **Parking Beneficiary Groups**

There are at least 7 types of beneficiary groups. Note that in all cases, members of beneficiary groups must be old enough to drive.

- 1.) People who have already paid for the capital cost of parking. An example of this type of beneficiary group would be the owners of condominiums, where parking has been built and the cost is included in the price of the condominium. Note that although they have technically already paid for the parking, if they borrowed money to pay for some portion of the price, the cost is built into their monthly payment. This illustrates why the value of parking and the cost of borrowing money (rate of return on money) are key input variables to use to compute the appropriate base, hourly charge for parking.
- 2.) People who are incurring on-going costs of parking. An example of this type of beneficiary group is a set of office workers, where the cost of 'their" parking is contained in either the building lease or the cost of the building. Either way, the parking costs are reducing the wages that can be paid to these employees.<sup>6</sup>
- 3.) People who are purchasing or renting something where the cost of the parking is included in the price. Examples of this beneficiary group are people that rent hotel rooms, rent an apartment, buy items, or dine in establishments that have parking.
- 4.) People who own off-street parking as a business. They could be the individual investors or could be a government or government-formed entity.
- 5.) People who are said to benefit from parking, even though the money for the parking has been supplied by a source that may have very little relationship to those that are said to benefit. An example of this group would be train riders that make round trips from a station which has parking that is said to be "for riders". Students at a school with parking would be another example.
- 6.) People who are considered by many to be the logical beneficiaries of on-street parking. Owners of single-family homes are the beneficiaries of the parking that is along the boundaries of their property. The same status is given to residents of multi-family housing.
- 7.) Governments. Since they build and maintain the streets, they should get a significant benefit from on-street parking.

## **Unbundled Cost and Spontaneous Sharing**

"Unbundled cost" means those who use the parking can see exactly what it costs and those who don't use the parking will either avoid its cost entirely or will get earnings to make up for the hidden parking cost they had to pay. This conforms to the usual rule of the free market where a person only pays for what they choose to use. Unbundled cost is fair.

"Spontaneous sharing" means that anyone can park anywhere at any time and for any length of time. Proper pricing makes this feasible.

#### How to Unbundle

The method of unbundling can be simply stated, using the concept of "beneficiary group" as discussed above. First, the fair price for the parking is charged. The resulting earnings<sup>7</sup> amount is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Such parking is often said to be "for the benefit of the employees". Defining this beneficiary group will tend to make this statement true, as opposed to the common situation where the employees benefit only in proportion to their use of the parking.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The earnings amount is the revenue collected minus the collection cost and any other costs that will have to be paid due to the implementation of *Dividend Account Parking (DAP)*. The costs associated with the parking, paid *before* 

given to the members of the beneficiary group in a manner that is fair to each member. Methods are described below.

#### Why this Supports Sharing

Members of a beneficiary group benefit financially when "their" parking is used. They will appreciate users increasing their earnings. They are also not obligated to park in "their" parking. If there is less-expensive parking within a reasonable distance, they might park there, to save money. This is fine, because all parking is included in the *Dividend Account Parking (DAP)* system.

#### Computing the Earnings for Individuals

*Dividend Account Parking (DAP)* must be rigorous in paying out earnings<sup>7</sup>. For a mixed use, the total number of parking spaces must first be allocated to the various beneficiary groups. For example in an office/housing complex, 63.5% of the parking might have been sold with the office. If so, the housing portion must be paying for the other 36.5%. For this case, it would follow that the first step is to allocate 63.5% of the earnings to the workers and 36.5% to the residents.

How the monthly earnings are divided up among the members of the beneficiary group depends on the beneficiary group type. For each member, the group's total monthly earnings amount is always multiplied by a quantity and divided by the sum (the sum is the denominator) of that quantity, for all members.

For example, for each employee, the multiplier is the number of hours that the employee worked over the month while the denominator is the total number of hours worked by all employees over the month. At a school, for each student, the numerator is the total time spent at the school, over the month, while the denominator is the sum of the same quantity, for all the students.

For a train station with parking being supplied for passengers that ride on round trips of one day or less, the numerator is the passenger's monthly hours spent on such round trips, over the month; while the denominator is the total number of hours spent by all passengers on such round trips, over the month. Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) units on passengers could support an automated calculation of monthly charges for fares, as well as monthly hours on round trips.

At a shopping center, the numerator is the sum of the money spent by the shopper, over the month, while the denominator is the total amount of money spent by all shoppers over the month.

At a condominium, the numerator is the number of parking places that were paid for (directly or indirectly) by the resident family and the denominator is the total number of parking places at the condominium project; similarly, for apartment complexes.

#### Where Earnings Are Low

The goal is that if someone doesn't park, they don't pay, either directly or indirectly, because the earnings that they get will balance out their losses (like reduced wages, for example). However, charging for parking that few want to use will not sufficiently compensate the people that have been forced, or are being forced, to pay for such parking. The only remedy in this case is to redevelop the parking or lease the parking in some other way, for storage, for example. The

the implementation of *Dividend Account Parking (DAP)*, should *not* be subtracted from the revenue because they will continue to be paid as they were before the implementation of *Dividend Account Parking (DAP)*. Therefore, these costs will continue to reduce wages and increase the prices of goods and services.

earnings from the new use should go to those that are in the beneficiary group that was associated with the low-performing parking.

#### Why This Method of Unbundling Will Feel Familiar to Leaders

Developers will still be required to provide parking and will still pass this cost on, as has been discussed. There will be no need to force an owner of an exiting office with parking to break his single business into two separate businesses (office and parking).

Parking beneficiaries are identified that conform to traditional ideas about who should benefit from parking.<sup>8</sup>

#### Unbundling the Cost of On-Street Parking

The revenue from on-street parking in front of businesses will be split evenly between the city and the business's parking beneficiaries. All of the earnings from on-street parking in front of apartments or single-family homes will be given to the resident families.<sup>9</sup>

#### Special Considerations for Condominiums

Unbundling for a condominium owner means that, although their allocated amount of parking has added to their initial cost, their allocated amount of parking also earns money for them. Unbundling for a condominium could also mean that an owner can choose to have control over a single or several parking places. Such parking spaces could be equipped with a red light and a green light. If the red light is lit, this will mean that the space is not available for parking, except for the person who is controlling the spot. If the green light is lit, it will mean that the space is available to anyone. A space that is being reserved with a red light is charged at the full price to the condominium owner that has control over the space. The owner that controls these spaces can change the state of the parking space (available or not available) by either a phone call, on line, or at any pay station system that might be in use for the system. After condominium owners experience the cost of reserving a space for themselves, they might give up on the idea of having their own, personal, unshared parking space; especially since *Dividend Account Parking (DAP)* will give most owners and their guests all the flexibility they need in terms of parking their cars.

Some people think that condominium parking should be gated, for security reasons. However, parking within parking garages needs to be patrolled at the same frequency level as on-street parking, which is enough to ensure that crime around either type of parking is very rare. Cameras can help make parking garages that are open to the public safe from criminal activity.

#### Special Considerations for Renters

Unbundling for renters means that, although their allocated amount of parking increases their rent, their allocated amount of parking also earns money for them. Therefore, their traditional rent (includes parking) is effectively reduced by the money earned by those parking spaces allocated to them. Renters will be motivated to either not own a car or to park in a cheaper

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Showing exactly where parking earnings go will reduce the political difficulties of adopting pay parking in a democracy where the high cost of parking is often hidden and rarely discussed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Although governments own the streets, often, back in history, developers paid for them and this cost became embedded in property values. Admittedly, how to allocate on-street parking earnings is somewhat arbitrary. With congestion pricing and efficient methods, governments may earn significantly more than they are under current practices.

location. Parking in a cheaper location is not a problem because all parking is part of the *Dividend Account Parking (DAP)* system. Renters will welcome anyone to park in "their" parking, because it will increase their earnings.

#### Special Considerations for Employers

At first, companies may want the option of offering "free parking" to their employees so as to be able to compete with traditional job sites. This means giving employees that drive every single day an "add-in" amount of pay so that the sum of the add-in and their parking-lot earnings equals their charge, for any given monthly statement. The operator of the parking, which sends out statements, can pay out the "add in" amount, in accordance with the company's instruction. The company will then be billed for these amounts. There could be no requirement for the company to provide any such "add-in" amount to the employees that don't drive every day. This would allow the company to treat its every-day drivers better than other employees and so this would be a negative TDM. However, this economic discrimination would be substantially less than the current, status-quo, economic discrimination, where drivers get "free" parking and non-drivers get nothing.

## **Clusters of Parking**

Clusters are a contiguous set of parking spaces that are nearly equal in desirability and thus can be assigned the same price. They should probably consist of from 20 to 40 spaces. For off-street parking, they could be on either side of the access lane to the parking spaces, so that an observer could see the 20 to 40 cars, and get a feel for the vacancy rate. At a train station, clusters will normally be organized so that their parking spaces are approximately an equal distance from the boarding area. On-street clusters would normally conform to our current understanding of what a block is, which is to say from one cross street to the next cross street. The width of the street and the length of the block should be taken into account in defining on-street clusters of parking and in deciding if the parking on either side of the street should or should not be in the same cluster of parking spaces.

## **Examples of Good and Bad Technology**

#### Parking Meters or Pay Stations

Parking meters are a relic of an earlier period, before computers. Pay stations do not add enough usefulness to merit their inclusion in *Dividend Account Parking (DAP)*, except as a bridge technology. Once good systems are set up, pay stations should cost additional money to use because of their expense. It would be best to devise an implementation strategy that will minimize their use when the system is first put into effect and will take them out of service as soon as possible.

## Radio Frequency Identification Backed Up by Video-Based "Car Present" and License Recognition

Government will eventually enter into an RFID (Radio Frequency Identification) age. Organizers of large athletic events already have. Organizers that put on large open-water swims, foot races, and bike rides have routinely used RFID for many years.<sup>10</sup> An RFID vendor in San Diego<sup>11</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> For example, over 20,000 people ran the 2008 Bay-to-Breakers foot race in San Francisco. Each runner had a "chip" in their shoe lace. Each runner's start time and finish time were recorded and all results were available as soon as the last runner crossed the finish line.

states that passive RFID units cost less than \$5, are reliable, are durable, and they could be used to identify cars as well as people. He also sees no problem in implementing most of the features of *Dividend Account Parking (DAP)*.<sup>12</sup>

#### Automatic Data Collection and Sending Out Statements

Note that the "back end database" of Dr. Carta's written statement<sup>12</sup> refers to the ability to send statements of earnings and billing to students.<sup>13</sup>

#### Putting it Together

Certainly, government, and in particular transit agencies and parking agencies, could use RFIDbased technology. For example, when a person with an RFID unit which is tied to a billable address or a credit card with an open account gets on a bus or a train, they should not have to pay at that time, visit a pay station, or "swipe a card" that has a positive balance. Utility customers that pay their bills are not required to pre-pay. The same courtesy should be extended to transit riders, people that drive on roads, people that get parking-lot earnings, and people that park cars. There should be one monthly bill or statement, for all four activities.

#### **Global Positioning Systems GPS**

An alternative model is to have GPS systems in cars that would detect the car's parking location, that location's current charge rate, and would perform all of the charging functions in the car. The only information the parking-lot-enforcement system would need is whether or not a car being parked is owned by a bill-paying owner. The car owner's responsibility would be to pay the bills indicated by the box in the car. The box would need to process a signal that a bill had been paid. It would also need to process pricing signals.

#### Not Picking Winners

The purpose of this report is to describe what an ideal system would do, *not* how it is done. How a proposed system works is left to the systems, software, and hardware engineers that work together to submit a proposal based on this description of what an ideal system does.

I wanted to send a quick note discussing the technical feasibility of tracking cars into a lot without impacting students or requiring the need for gates. Mike Bullock and I have discussed this project; it can be accomplished straightforwardly by utilizing Radio Frequency Identification and/or Video Cameras integrated with automated license recognition systems. The cars would need to register with the system at the start, but it would be fairly painless for the users after the initial installation. The back end database system can also be implemented both straightforwardly and at a reasonable price.

This is not necessarily a recommendation of the proposal for unbundled parking. Rather it is strictly an unbiased view of the technical feasibility of the proposal to easily and unobtrusively track cars, both registered and unregistered, into a fixed lot.

<sup>13</sup> In an earlier email on this subject, Dr. Carta wrote,

This is not too tough - we probably would integrate with a service that already sends physical mail from an electronic submission instead of re-inventing this wheel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>David R. Carta, PhD, CEO Telaeris Inc., 858-449-3454

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Concerning a Final Environmental Impact Report-approved and funded new high school in Carlsbad, California, where the School Board has signed a *Settlement Agreement* to consider "*unbundled parking*", "*cash-out*", and "*pricing*", Dr. Carta wrote, in a January 13<sup>th</sup>, 2010 written statement to the Board,

## Privacy

Privacy means that no one can see where someone has parked, without a search warrant. Also, the level of the detail of information that appears on a bill is selected by the customer.<sup>14</sup>

## Ease of Use for Drivers

For credit-worthy drivers that have followed the rules of the system, pay parking will not require any actions other than parking. Paying for all parking fees over a month is then done in response to a monthly billing statement. Parking will feel to the consumer like a service provided by a municipality, such as water, energy, or garbage. One important difference is that users belonging to a "beneficiary group" will get an earnings amount in their monthly statement. Those that earn more than what they are charged will receive a check for the difference. This ease of use will make all parking less stressful.

## **Base Price**

#### **Off-Street**

Off-street parking is priced so that even if demand does not threaten to fill the parking beyond 85%, the money generated will at least equate to an agreed-upon return on the parking value and pay all yearly costs. Equation 1 shows the calculation of the hourly rate.

$$r_{BaselineHourig} = \frac{(r_{Investment} \times w_{Farking}) + v_{YGFB}}{(n_{HoursFerYear} \times f_{TG})}$$
(Eq. 1)

where:

$r_{BaselineHourly}$	=	the computed baseline hourly rate to park
newsorment	=	yearly return on investment, such as .06
V <sub>Parking</sub>	=	value of a parking space, such as (parking garage) \$40,000
CYOPD	=	yearly operations <sup>15</sup> plus depreciation, per space, such as \$100
n <sub>HoursP</sub> ertear	=	number of hours per year, 24 x 365 = 8760 Hours per Year
f70	=	fraction of time occupied, such as 0.55.

For the example values given, the base hourly rate of parking, to cover the cost of the investment, operations<sup>15</sup>, and depreciation is \$0.519 per hour. This could be rounded up to \$0.52 per hour. This price could also be increased to result in positive TDM, to reduce driving more than the fair-price, zero-TDM amount.

#### **On-Street**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> License plates that have no RFID tags fail to use the best technology to accomplish the primary purpose of license plates, which is to identify and help intercept cars used in a crime. Identifying cars is a legitimate government goal. Protecting privacy is also a legitimate goal. Both goals can be realized with good laws, good enforcement, and good systems engineering.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> This includes money for policing, cleaning, maintenance, any applicable parking tax, and all collection costs. Collection costs will need to include an amount to recover the development and installation costs of *Dividend Account Parking (DAP)*.

If on-street parking is located within walking distance (one-quarter mile) of off-street parking, its base price is set equal to the closest off-street parking's base price. Otherwise, it is set to some agreed-upon value, like fifty cents per hour. However, on-street parking has a special meaning for downtown merchants and for neighborhoods, two powerful political forces in any city. Merchants that have few cars parking on their street, even though it is permitted, are probably failing in their businesses. They would like free parking to help draw visitors to their store front. Neighborhoods that are not impacted by parking would probably prefer no pricing. For these reasons, for any on-street parking cluster, no price is charged until the cluster occupancy reaches 50%. (Time of day is irrelevant.)

### **Congestion Pricing**

The time-rate price of parking is dynamically set on each cluster of parking, to prevent the occupancy rate from exceeding 85% (to reduce the need to drive around looking for parking). An 85% occupancy rate (15% vacancy) results in just over one vacant parking space per city block<sup>5</sup>. If the vacancy rate is above 30%, the price is left at the baseline hourly rate. If vacancies fall below 30%, the price can be calculated in a stair-step method, such as shown in Table 2.

Equation 2 is an alternative method.

In either case, the total charge is time parked, multiplied by the time-averaged, time-rate price. The base multiplier would be adjusted to be just large enough to keep the vacancy rate from falling below a desired level, such as 15%, so it is always easy to find parking.

	Base	Base Multiplier = 2			Base Multiplier = 2.5		
Vacancy	Multipli	Multiplication		Multiplication H		Hourly	
Rate	Formula	Value	Rate	Formula	Value	Rate	
Above 30%	<b>2</b> 0	1	\$0.52	-7 ≡0	1	\$0.52	
25% to 30%	21	2	\$1.04	$2.5^{1}$	2.5	\$1.30	
20% to 25%	22	4	\$2.08	2 5 <sup>2</sup>	6.25	\$3.25	
15% to 20%	<b>7</b> 3	8	\$4.16	2 5 <sup>3</sup>	15.625	\$8.13	
10% to 15%	- 24	16	\$8.32	2.54	39.0625	\$20.31	
5% to 10%	25	32	\$16.64	2 55	97.6563	\$50.78	
Below 5%	26	64	\$33.28	2 56	244.1406	\$126.95	

 Table 2
 Hourly Rates for 2 Base Multipliers and a Baseline Hourly Rate of \$0.52

 $r_{HourtyRate} = r_{BaselineHourty} \times (B^{(30-V)/B}), for V < 30_1 r_{BasilineHourty}, otherwise (Eq. 2) where:$ 

$r_{HouriyRate}$	=	the congestion-priced hourly rate to park
$r_{BaselineHourly}$	=	the baseline hourly rate to park, such as \$0.52 per hour (taken from from Eq. 1.
В	=	the base of the multiplier being computed, such as 2.50

V = the vacancy rate percent, such as 17.5, for 7 vacancies in a cluster of 40 spaces,  $100^{*}(7/40) = 17.5$ 

For the example values given, the hourly rate of parking would be \$9.88 per hour.

## **Pricing Predictions and Notifications**

Drivers will develop strategies for their routine trips. The computer system that keeps records of parking use will also provide help for users. The *Dividend Account Parking (DAP)* website will direct a user to an appropriate cluster of parking if the user provides the destination location or locations, the time and date, and the hourly rate they wish to pay. If the walk is going to be long, the website could suggest using transit to get from the cheaply-priced parking to the destination. In such cases, the website may also suggest using transit for the entire trip.

Another user option is to specify the time, location, and the distance the user is willing to walk. In this case, the computer would give the cheapest cluster of parking available at the specified walk distance. The price prediction would be provided.

All price predictions would also have a probability of correctness associated with them. If a user can show that a computer has predicted a much lower price than what actually occurred, with a sufficiently high probability, it would be reasonable to charge the user the predicted price rather than the actual price.

Websites could routinely inform viewers when occupancy rates are expected to be unusually high, due to a special event (for example, a sporting event). The parking system website will always give current and predicted hourly rates for all locations. The hourly rates of parking will also be available at a phone number and possibly at pay stations. The base-price hourly rate, for any parking cluster, would be stable and could therefore be shown on signs. Parking garage entrances could have large video screens showing both predicted and existing price. Users will also learn to look at parking and judge whether congestion pricing applies, or could apply, while their car is parked. It would not be long before these capabilities are added into GPS navigation systems.

## **Prepaid RFID**

To be inclusive, pay stations or convenience stores will offer a pre-paid RFID that can be set on the dashboard of a car. This will support drivers with poor credit or drivers who have not obtained the necessary equipment to support the normal, trouble-free methods. This will also work for drivers that do not trust the system to protect their privacy for a certain trip (by removing or disabling the permanent RFID) or for all trips. No billing would occur.

## Enforcement

The system would notify the appropriate law enforcement agency if an unauthorized car was parked. Authorized cars would need either a pre-paid RFID or equipment indicating that their owners had *Dividend Account Parking (DAP)* accounts and were sufficiently paid up on their bills.

## **IMPLEMENTATION**

This description of *Dividend Account Parking (DAP)* will help to implement efficient parking systems. Parking at train stations, schools, and government buildings could introduce many of these concepts. This description of *Dividend Account Parking (DAP)* is sufficient to support a "Request for Proposal" process, which could lead to full implementation. Widespread

installation should be done by a government agency, to minimize actions required on the part of the private sector. Laws would simply require the cooperation of all private-sector and government entities.

## SUMMARY

A parking plan, Dividend Account Parking (DAP) has been described.

- 1. Technology will make it easy to use for most drivers.
- 2. Its parking is almost always shared, to support mixed uses.
- 3. It unbundles cost by charging and having earnings go to the parking beneficiaries.
- 4. Traditional groups, such as single-family home owners, employees, tenants, train riders, and students benefit from parking. The benefit is equal for drivers and non-drivers.
- 5. Baseline prices are computed primarily from the value of the parking and an agreed-upon rate of return. On-street parking is free until it is half full, at which time its base price often matches that of the closest off-street parking.
- 6. For all parking, price is dynamically increased to guarantee availability. Earnings are therefore only limited by what people are willing to pay.
- 7. Technology helps drivers find parking and decide if they want to drive or use transit.
- 8. Prepaid RFIDs provide service to those who have poor credit or don't want to be billed.
- 9. Disabled and perhaps low-income drivers will have accounts that allow them to park at reduced prices and perhaps avoid congestion pricing. Specially designated spots might also be required for disabled drivers.
- 10. The system will provide reports showing where additional parking would be a good investment and where it would be wise to convert existing parking to some other use.
- 11. Privacy will be protected. Law enforcement officials would need a search warrant to see where someone's car has been parked. The level of detail on billing would be selected by the car's owner.
- 12. Implementations could begin in carefully selected locations and expand.

Global warming, air pollution, trade deficits, and fairness are some of the significant reasons that governments have a responsibility to implement *Dividend Account Parking (DAP)*.

## **ACKNOWLEGEMENTS**

The following people have offered encouragement, specific information, and/or special insights.

Dr. Dennis Martinek, Oceanside Planning Commissioner; Sandra Goldberg, California Deputy Attorney General; Jerry Kern, Oceanside, City Council; Amy Volzke, Principal Planner, City of Oceanside; Dr. Nilmini Silva-Send, Senior Policy Analyst of the Energy Policy Initiative Center; Diane Nygaard, Director of Preserve Calavera and founder of Nelson Nygaard, Consulting Associates; Lisa Rodman, Trustee, Carlsbad Unified School District; Dr. Michael McQuary, President, La Jolla Democratic Club; Joan Bullock; Judy Jones, San Diego County Central Committee, California Democratic Party; Patrick Siegman, Principal and Shareholder, Nelson Nygaard; Andy Hamilton, San Diego Air Pollution Control District; Renee Owens, Conservation Chair, San Diego Sierra Club; Caroline Chase, Executive Committee Chair, San Diego Sierra Club; Ed Mainland, Co-Chair, Energy-Climate Committee, Sierra Club California; Bern Grush, Chief Scientist, Skymeter Corporation; and the following San Diego Area Government (SANDAG) employees: Susan Baldwin, Senior Regional Planner; Bob Leiter, former Director of Land Use and Transportation Planning; Coleen Clementson, Principle Planner; and Stephan Vance, Senior Regional Planner.

## REFERENCES

- Siegman, P. How to Get Paid to Bike to Work: A Guide to Low-traffic, High-profit Development; Pro Bike Pro Walk Resource Book; from the Ninth International Conference on Bicycle & Pedestrian Programs; Sept. 3-6, 1996, Portland, Maine; Bicycle Federation of America Pedestrian Federation of America; pp 171-175.
- 2 Adams, T.; Eaken, A.; Notthoff, A.; Communities Tackle Global Warming, A Guide to California's SB 375, June 2009, NRDC; http://www.nrdc.org/globalWarming/sb375/files/sb375.pdf.
- 3 Anders, S. J.; De Haan, D. O.; Silva-Send, N.; Tanaka, S.T.; Tyner, L.; San Diego County Greenhouse Gas Inventory, September 2008. http://www.sandiego.edu/epic/ghginventory/
- 4 Silva-Send, N.; Reducing Greenhouse Gases from On-Road Transportation in San Diego County, October 2009. <u>http://www.sandiego.edu/epic/ghgpolicy/docum</u> ents/ES GHG Policy On-Road FINAL.pdf
- 5 Shoup, D. The High Cost of Free Parking. Chicago University Press, June 7, 2005.

## **KEYWORDS**

A&WMA, Parking, Unbundled, Shared, TDM, cash-out, pricing, beneficiary, greenhouse gas, GHG, GPS, RFID

From:	The Malkin Family <dtmalkin@gmail.com></dtmalkin@gmail.com>
Sent:	Thursday, July 13, 2023 8:34 PM
То:	Drp Parking Study
Cc:	Teri Malkin
Subject:	Biased study

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

The study was conducted with the Committee leader mentioning at the beginning of the first meeting that the priority was housing and not parking. I heard residents in multi-family, high-density units complain that high-density, multi-family units went up next to them, without any input from the neighbors. It was brought up that each unit might have several family members going to their respective workplace who needed vehicles.

The Committee leader also stated that the more parking that is provided for the complex, the more street parking will be needed. That just doesn't make sense.

The Committee leader said seniors didn't need vehicles. Not true, said one member who explained the need to get to medical appointments.

The problem is that there is no endpoint destination infrastructure yet available.

Because of the bias of the Committee leaders, I quit the Committee after the first meeting. I voiced my concern to Supervisor Solis' office also.

Teri Malkin 18021 Galatina St. Rowland Hts., CA 91748

#### **Alyson Stewart**

From:	DRP Public Comment
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:03 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support for Eliminating Multifamily Housing Parking Requirements

#### FYI

From: Lisa Brehove Roy <lisa.brehove@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 10:53 PM
To: DRP Public Comment <comment@planning.lacounty.gov>
Subject: Support for Eliminating Multifamily Housing Parking Requirements

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Good evening,

My name is Lisa and I am a social worker who has worked in direct services with our unhoused neighbors in LA for the last eight years. I have developed a strong passion for housing justice over the years, and would like to submit a public comment regarding agenda item Part III Section 5(a) for the upcoming meeting on July 26th.

I wanted to voice my support for eliminating the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing. LA desperately needs to open to door to more development of market rate multifamily housing to start slowly addressing the rising cost of housing. We need to relax regulations in a way that does not impact a neighborhood's safety or affordability. Eliminating parking requirements is a safe, cheap way to cut some of that red tape.

As someone who works in homeless services, I see daily the costs of not addressing our housing crisis. The cause of so much homelessness and housing instability is not addiction or mental illness - it is the simple fact that people with low incomes cannot afford a roof over their heads. When the market starts pushing people our of their homes, it is usually the most vulnerable - the elderly, the disabled, people with mental illness and addiction, families - who are pushed out of housing first. Affordable housing programs cannot keep up with the demand. I fully support organizations like Abundant Housing LA for their support of increasing development of multi family housing, which I know in the long run will reduce the strain on our overworked social services and provide more opportunities for low income households to get decent housing.

Please do not make the mistake of thinking our housing and homelessness crisis has nothing to do with parking. Housing is complex and improving housing access will require input and work from so many places. Eliminating one barrier to development will not alone solve our problems, but I believe it can be an important step.

Thank you for your time.

Lisa Roy, MSW lisa.brehove@gmail.com 310-739-4057

#### **Alyson Stewart**

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:04 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: leherc1@everyactioncustom.com <leherc1@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 7:06 PM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Thank you so much for your service, and please join me in supporting parking reform in LA County!

Sincerely, Mario Hercules 630 S St Louis St Apt 307 Los Angeles, CA 90023-1297 leherc1@yahoo.com

#### **Alyson Stewart**

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:04 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: anthony.m.ball.23@everyactioncustom.com <anthony.m.ball.23@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 7:07 PM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Thank you so much for your service, and please join me in supporting parking reform in LA County!

Sincerely, Anthony Ball 1123 Aragon Ave Los Angeles, CA 90065-1703 anthony.m.ball.23@dartmouth.edu

#### **Alyson Stewart**

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:04 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: rachael.johnson.454@everyactioncustom.com <rachael.johnson.454@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 7:13 PM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Thank you so much for your service, and please join me in supporting parking reform in LA County!

Sincerely, Rachael Johnson 6827 Laurel Canyon Blvd North Hollywood, CA 91605-5693 rachael.johnson.454@my.csun.edu

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:05 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: sean.youssefi@everyactioncustom.com <sean.youssefi@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 7:23 PM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely, Sean Youssefi 1422 19th St Apt B Santa Monica, CA 90404-2818 sean.youssefi@gmail.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:05 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: eabagasao@everyactioncustom.com <eabagasao@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 7:35 PM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely, Elizabeth Bagasao 1700 Mission St Apt 21 South Pasadena, CA 91030-3346 eabagasao@hotmail.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:05 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: brett.hollenbeck@everyactioncustom.com <brett.hollenbeck@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 7:35 PM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely, Brett Hollenbeck 4431 Purdue Ave Los Angeles, CA 90230-5155 brett.hollenbeck@gmail.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:06 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: brfoley76@everyactioncustom.com <brfoley76@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 7:36 PM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely, Brad Foley 2702 S Normandie Ave Los Angeles, CA 90007-2114 brfoley76@gmail.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:06 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: gallagher.williamp@everyactioncustom.com <gallagher.williamp@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 7:38 PM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

William Gallagher Santa Monica

Sincerely, William Gallagher 132 Strand St Santa Monica, CA 90405-2249 gallagher.williamp@gmail.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:07 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: afklurfeld@everyactioncustom.com <afklurfeld@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 7:42 PM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely, Alison Klurfeld 541 N Crescent Heights Blvd Los Angeles, CA 90048-2207 afklurfeld@gmail.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:08 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: andymay@everyactioncustom.com <andymay@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 7:48 PM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely, Andrew May 1901 N New Hampshire Ave Los Angeles, CA 90027-1818 andymay@yahoo.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:08 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: jgoldmanca@everyactioncustom.com <jgoldmanca@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 7:50 PM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely, Joe Goldman 11733 Montana Ave Apt 104 Los Angeles, CA 90049-4793 jgoldmanca@gmail.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:08 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: kristinabrown@everyactioncustom.com <kristinabrown@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 7:53 PM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely, Kristina Brown 3553 London St Los Angeles, CA 90026-3516 kristinabrown@mac.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:09 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: workaholicnat100@everyactioncustom.com <workaholicnat100@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 7:56 PM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely, Natasha Gascon 832 Fedora St Apt 207 Los Angeles, CA 90005-2106 workaholicnat100@gmail.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:09 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: otwent@everyactioncustom.com <otwent@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 8:18 PM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely, Denise De Stefano 1525 Sawtelle Blvd Apt 5 Los Angeles, CA 90025-3240 otwent@aol.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:10 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: jedewards@everyactioncustom.com <jedewards@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 9:03 PM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely, Jonathan Edewards 1014 S Orange Dr Los Angeles, CA 90019-1557 jedewards@gmail.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:10 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: sshapirokline@everyactioncustom.com <sshapirokline@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 9:22 PM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely, Samuel Shapiro-Kline 1672 Federal Ave Los Angeles, CA 90025-2978 sshapirokline@gmail.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:10 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: I.a.ridings@everyactioncustom.com <I.a.ridings@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 9:56 PM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely, Leslie Ridings 3030 Valle Vista Dr Los Angeles, CA 90065-4458 I.a.ridings@gmail.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:10 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: justinj1@everyactioncustom.com <justinj1@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 9:56 PM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

All of LA needs permit parking also. Neighborhoods are filling up with junk cars. NO FREE PARKING ANYWHERE. You have to tax something, tax driving and parking. thanks!

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely,

Sincerely, Justin Jones 3711 Baldwin St Los Angeles, CA 90031-2965 justinj1@hotmail.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:11 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: briannajungegan@everyactioncustom.com <briannajungegan@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 9:57 PM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely, Brianna Egan 520 S Helberta Ave Redondo Beach, CA 90277-4353 briannajungegan@gmail.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:11 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: kevz21189@everyactioncustom.com <kevz21189@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 10:05 PM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely, Kevin Zelaya 1139 S Rimpau Blvd Los Angeles, CA 90019-1812 kevz21189@gmail.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:11 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: florawaters@everyactioncustom.com <florawaters@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 10:26 PM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

As a social worker who has spent the last eight years working in LA County's homeless service system, I am seeing more and more people locked out of market rate housing. People who finally take the leap and obtain full time employment still are not making enough to afford a modest studio. While investment into housing subsidies is important, we will never address the influx of people falling into homelessness or housing instability without radically increasing development of housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Thank you so much for your service, and please join me in supporting parking reform in LA County!

Sincerely,

Sincerely, Lisa Roy MSW 9050 Carron Dr Apt 102 Pico Rivera, CA 90660-3545 florawaters@gmail.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:33 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: alex@everyactioncustom.com <alex@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:32 AM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely, Alex Parks 3734 Hellman Ave Los Angeles, CA 90032-1521 alex@alexparks.tv

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 8:05 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: derekryder.101@everyactioncustom.com <derekryder.101@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2023 8:04 AM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely, DEREK RYDER 4111 Glenalbyn Dr Los Angeles, CA 90065-3144 derekryder.101@gmail.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 8:21 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: aleija.ca@everyactioncustom.com <aleija.ca@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2023 8:19 AM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely, Angel Leija 22016 Pioneer Blvd Hawaiian Gardens, CA 90716-1240 aleija.ca@gmail.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 9:00 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: aebickerton@everyactioncustom.com <aebickerton@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2023 8:58 AM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element.

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing.

I urge you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible.

Parking reform also aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Thank you so much for your service, and please join me in supporting parking reform in LA County!

Sincerely,

Sincerely, Ann Bickerton 11871 Washington PI Los Angeles, CA 90066-4640 aebickerton@gmail.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 9:43 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: gonzalezdominic28@everyactioncustom.com <gonzalezdominic28@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2023 9:42 AM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely, Dominic Gonzalez 9597 Cortada St El Monte, CA 91733-1057 gonzalezdominic28@gmail.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 10:06 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: ieithan1101@everyactioncustom.com <ieithan1101@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2023 10:05 AM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely, Eithan Itaev 5537 Greenbush Ave Sherman Oaks, CA 91401-5335 ieithan1101@gmail.com

From:	Rafael Andrade
Sent:	Thursday, July 20, 2023 10:48 AM
То:	Alyson Stewart
Cc:	Bruce Durbin
Subject:	FW: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: jcrtll@everyactioncustom.com <jcrtll@everyactioncustom.com> Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2023 10:45 AM To: EDL-DRP BU-S Commission Services <commission@planning.lacounty.gov> Subject: Support of LA County Multifamily Parking Ordinance

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear LACounty Planning Commission,

At your July 26th meeting, Planning Staff will be making a presentation to you on how parking reform promotes housing affordability. Staff will discuss a number of strategies, including transit demand management, shared parking, and importantly the reduction and/or elimination of parking minimums for new multifamily housing. As we're sure you know, the Board of Supervisors made a commitment to parking reform by including it as one of the short-term programs in the LA County Housing Element. I stand with Abundant Housing in urging you to make good on that commitment by adopting a new ordinance in the months ahead which eliminates the requirement to provide parking in new multifamily housing.

The County of Los Angeles is under an imperative to dramatically increase its housing production in order to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment targets. To do so it must build new housing at all income levels. Parking reform is a key tool to help local governments do just that. Research into the City of San Diego found that, when parking minimums were abolished there, the change was followed by a significant boost in the production of market-rate housing, units in 100% affordable housing projects, and affordable units in mixed-income housing projects. The increase in deed-restricted affordable units was particularly dramatic.

Reducing parking minimums also facilitates the construction of small multifamily complexes, often called "missing middle" housing. These are the small apartment buildings that may fit within the fabric of a neighborhood but for which a large parking garage would never be financially or architecturally feasible. It's important to note that empirical research has shown repeatedly that eliminating parking minimums does not eliminate the construction of off-street parking by developers. Rather, parking reform allows builders to right-size parking for their particular projects. In some cases, that means building less parking, and in some cases, none. The reduction in parking spots reduces the cost of construction, and as a consequence can dramatically decrease rents.

Finally, parking reform aligns with LA County's climate goals. Oversupply of parking incentivizes driving and increases carbon emissions. With transportation constituting the greatest source of emissions in California, parking reform holds the promise of addressing both housing affordability and climate change.

Sincerely, josh cretella 938 S Serrano Ave Los Angeles, CA 90006-1113 jcrtll@protonmail.com